

This Annual Performance Report (APR) has been submitted by the Accredited Entity (AE) and has passed the Green Climate Fund's (GCF) completeness check. The information presented herein has not yet undergone a formal review by the GCF. The contents remain the sole responsibility of the AE and may be subject to revisions or updates following GCF's detailed assessment over the coming months.

FP109

Safeguarding rural communities and their physical and economic assets from climate induced disasters in Timor-Leste

Annual Performance Report CY2024

Table of Contents

APR CY2024 Section 1: General Information - v2 2025-08-15 15:40 +09:00

[APR CY2024] Section 1: General Information

APR CY2024 Section 2: Implementation Progress (PMFs, B15~B31) - v2 2025-08-15 15:40 +09:00

[APR CY2024] Section 2: Implementation Progress (for projects/programme approved under the PMFs)

2.1 Executive Summary

1. Summary of Project/Programme Progress

2.2 Logical Framework Indicators

1. Progress Update on the Core Indicators (PMF)

In this section, report the 'annual value' for the reporting year, which includes results achieved during the current year from both current and ongoing activities carried over from previous years. Additionally, provide the 'cumulative value,' which represents the total results realized from all previous years up to the end of the reporting year. Reporting on both annual and cumulative values is mandatory. Ensure that targets are clearly defined and reported using the following categories: Midterm Target: The results to be achieved by the midpoint of implementation. Final Target: The results to be achieved by the conclusion of project or program implementation. Lifetime Target: The total results expected over the entire operational period of the project or program. Lastly, avoid using commas or periods as thousand separators. Periods should only be used as decimal separators.

Country Level Disaggregated Values

Country Level Disaggregated Values

Country Level Disaggregated Values

2. Progress Update on the Fund-level Impact Indicators (PMF)

In this section, report the 'annual value' for the reporting year, which includes results achieved during the current year from both current and ongoing activities carried over from previous years. Additionally, provide the 'cumulative value,' which represents the total results realized from all previous years up to the end of the reporting year. Reporting on both annual and cumulative values is mandatory. Ensure that targets are clearly defined and reported using the following categories: Midterm Target: The results to be achieved by the midpoint of implementation. Final Target: The results to be achieved by the conclusion of project or program implementation. Lifetime Target: The total results expected over the entire operational period of the project or program. Lastly, avoid using commas or periods as thousand separators. Periods should only be used as decimal separators.

Country Level Disaggregated Values

Country Level Disaggregated Values

Country Level Disaggregated Values

3. Progress Update on the Fund-level Project/programme Outcome Indicators (PMF)

In this section, report the 'annual value' for the reporting year, which includes results achieved during the current year from both current and ongoing activities carried over from previous years. Additionally, provide the 'cumulative value,' which represents the total results realized from all previous years up to the end of the reporting year. Reporting on both annual and cumulative values is mandatory. Ensure that targets are clearly defined and reported using the following categories: Midterm Target: The results to be achieved by the midpoint of implementation. Final Target: The results to be achieved by the conclusion of project or program implementation. Lifetime Target: The total results expected over the entire operational period of the project or program. Lastly, avoid using commas or periods as thousand separators. Periods should only be used as decimal separators.

Country Level Disaggregated Values



Country Level Disaggregated Values
Country Level Disaggregated Values
4. Progress Update on the Project/Programme-level Outcome & Output Indicators (PMF)
In this section, report the 'annual value' for the reporting year, which includes results achieved during the current year from both current and ongoing activities carried over from previous years. Additionally, provide the 'cumulative value,' which represents the total results realized from all previous years up to the end of the reporting year. Reporting on both annual and cumulative values is mandatory. Ensure that targets are clearly defined and reported using the following categories: Midterm Target: The results to be achieved by the midpoint of implementation. Final Target: The results to be achieved by the conclusion of project or program implementation. Lifetime Target: The total results expected over the entire operational period of the project or program. Lastly, avoid using commas or periods as thousand separators. Periods should only be used as decimal separators. To provide more information on outcome, output, co-benefit levels, click the add row button.
2.3 Update on Project Output Implementation Status
2.4 Update to GCF Investment Criteria
2.5 Multi-country Project/Programme or Sub-Project Status
Project/Programme Information
2.6 Compliance with FAA covenants (not related to environmental and social safeguards)
2.7 Updated implementation timetable for the Funded Activity
2.8 [Private Sector Co-investment Platform only] List of the ultimate beneficiaries (asset owners) or the investors (asset managers)
2.9 Implementation Challenges

APR CY2024 Section 3: Financial Information - v2 2025-08-15 15:40 +09:00

[APR CY2024] Section 3: Financial Information
Annual Financial Performance Report
Unaudited Financial Statement
3.3 Disbursement projection
Approved budget by instrument
Cumulative Amount Disbursed from GCF to AE (until the end of 2023) by instrument
Undisbursed Balance (GCF Approved Funding)-(GCF Disbursed Funds)
Projected disbursements to be requested in all of 2025 (USD)
Projected disbursements to be requested in all of 2026 (USD)
Remaining budget

APR CY2024 Section 4: Environmental and Social Safeguards & Gender - v2 2025-08-15 15:40 +09:00

[APR CY2024] Section 4: Environmental and Social Safeguards & Gender Action Plan
4.1 Progress on Key Challenges and Updates regarding Environmental and Social Safeguards
4.2 Progress on Key Risks and Changes regarding the Gender Action Plan

APR CY2024 Section 5: Annexes and Attachments - v2 2025-08-15 15:40 +09:00

[APR CY2024] Section 5: Annexes and Attachments
Annex 1: GHG emission reduction calculation methodology (for projects reporting GHG emission reductions) and details on the calculations of the annual and cumulative value.
Annex 2: Communication and visibility material
Annex 3: List of grievances received
Annex 4: Procurement information (voluntary)
Annex 5: Any other documents (e.g. updated gender action plan)

APR CY2024 Section 1: General Information - v2 2025-08-15 15:40 +09:00

[APR CY2024] Section 1: General Information

Please note that this is section 1 of the five Annual Performance Report (APR) sections. APR will be considered valid only after all the five sections are filled with relevant details.

Reporting Year *

2024

Please indicate reporting year

1.1 Please indicate if information provided in this APR is disclosable outside the Green Climate Fund. *

- ☒ Yes - The Accredited Entity agrees that the information reported is disclosable.
- ☐ No - The information reported is partly confidential. The disclosable version of the APR will be attached.

If you select the second option [No - The information reported is partly confidential. The disclosable version of the APR will be attached], please follow the below steps.

- Step 1: Fill in all the sections of the APR with disclosable information.
- Step 2: Save each section using the 'PDF' function provided in the top-right corner. (Do NOT submit an APR at this step).
- Step 3: Attach all of the disclosable sections to the attachment boxes below, which will be shown once you check the second option only.
- Step 4: Update all the sections of the APR with non-disclosable information.
- Step 5: Submit the non-disclosable APR which herein enclose the disclosable APR in PDF format.

1.2 Please indicate if this report has been shared with the relevant NDA(s) for this Funded Activity

Yes

Once the APR is created in the PPMS, please use the 'Open as PDF' function to download the report in PDF format and to share with relevant authorities (i.e. NDAs) before submission. Select 'Yes' only if shared to ALL the relevant NDA(s).

Please Indicate the date of submission to NDA(s)

2025-03-01

If the APR is submitted to multiple NDAs, please indicate the latest date of submission to NDA, and provide the other dates per NDA in the further explanation box below.

Please provide further explanation, if any:

1.3 Funded Activity Title (Project/Programme Title)

Safeguarding rural communities and their physical and economic assets from climate induced disasters in Timor-Leste

1.4 Funding Proposal Reference Number

FP109

1.5 Board Meeting Number

23

1.6 Accredited Entity contacts for this APR

Full Name	
Samar Taha	
E-mail Address	Phone Number
samar.taha@undp.org	

1.7 Executing Entity(ies)

Full Name of Executing Entity
Ministry of Tourism and Environment
Please confirm if the pre-filled name of Executing Entity(es) indicated in the funding proposal document is correct. Please update the information accordingly if there have been any changes since the project implementation. In addition, please clarify the Executing Entity(es) name precisely if needed. For example, if 'local financial institutions' was indicated as an EE in the funding proposal, please put their exact names here. You may want to add/remove rows if needed.
Please write the name of the country where the Executing Entity is headquartered.
Actual Outputs delivered by Executing Entities
Please list the Outputs the executing entity is actually implementing whether it is based on the approved Funding Proposal or any other actual outputs that have been delivered.

1.8 Project Duration

From	To
2020-03-09	2026-03-09

Check if the extension request for the project duration was approved by the Secretariat during the reporting period.

Please reach out to the GCF portfolio managers if you need an extension

- ☐ Yes (it was extended)
- ☒ No

1.9 Current Year of Implementation

5

Indicate the year number, e.g., '2'

1.10 Annual reporting period covered in this report

From	To
2024-01-01	2024-12-31

Confirmation and Acknowledgement of Information *

* This is a required question to submit section 1 of the Annual Performance Report (APR).

- ☒ The accredited entity hereby confirms that the information provided in section 1 is complete and ready for submission.

APR CY2024 Section 2: Implementation Progress (PMFs, B15~B31) - v2 2025-08-15 15:40 +09:00

[APR CY2024] Section 2: Implementation Progress (for projects/programme approved under the PMFs)

Please note that this is section 2 of the five Annual Performance Report (APR). The APR will be considered valid only after all the five sections are filled with relevant details.

2.1 Executive Summary

1. Summary of Project/Programme Progress

This section provides a narrative report summarising the overall progress of the implementation status of the funded activity progress during the reporting period.

2.1.1 Overall Progress Achieved Since Project Start The project has continued transforming disaster preparedness and risk-safeguarding strategies across Timor-Leste, integrating advanced climate resilience initiatives into national and local planning processes. While significant milestones have already been achieved, ongoing efforts ensure that climate adaptation measures remain dynamic, responsive, and sustainable for long-term impact. The project continued the implementation of resilient infrastructure, whereby, out of the 130 planned climate-proofed infrastructures, 80 climate-resilient units have been successfully completed and handed over—46 financed through Government Co-Finance and 34 through GCF funding. Moreover, substantial progress has been made in catchment management and landscape rehabilitation. Out of the 1,500-hectare restoration target, 1,056 hectares of degraded slope lands have been revitalized through agroforestry interventions—850 hectares funded by the government and 206 hectares by GCF. This large-scale reforestation initiative has resulted in the planting of over 139,000 locally suitable trees, reducing erosion risks in high-risk areas. A total of 3,500 community members have been trained in sustainable agroforestry practices, equipping them with the necessary knowledge and skills to maintain and expand these interventions.
2.1.2 Progress Achieved in 2024 Building on the need for data-driven decision-making in disaster resilience, the project continues to drive the development of digital portals (MHVRTA and TERs). The step-by-step integration of spatial technologies into two portals has enhanced risk assessment capabilities to support effective disaster preparedness and response. Capacity-building efforts have been instrumental, with training programs equipping 211 national and municipality-level stakeholders, leading to an 88% improvement in disaster risk management knowledge. The project prioritized climate resilience at the municipal level, integrating climate risk criteria into planning and conducting cost-benefit analyses for 130 infrastructure projects. A total of 25 units were completed, and eight are nearing completion, benefiting 75458 people (54.4% women) with 95% EIA compliance. By late 2024, 29 alternative projects were identified, with designs finalized to replace GCF-approved projects affected by government implementation or social issues. Additionally, 189 hectares of degraded land were rehabilitated, supporting 960 farmers, 79% of whom improved yields by 15%. Reforestation increased vegetation cover by 12.4%, reducing erosion and improving water retention.

2.1.3 Update on Project Risks

The project continues to face challenges related to implementation delays due to governmental transitions under Decree Law No. 46/2023, which restructured institutional responsibilities. The reassignment of environmental oversight from the former Secretary of State for the Environment to the Vice Prime Minister’s Office and the Minister of Tourism and Environment has impacted coordination and approval processes. These administrative changes have introduced delays in decision-making, requiring adaptive measures to align project activities with the revised governance framework.

The project faced delays due to seasonal constraints, contractor limitations, and government-related challenges. Adverse weather and contractor performance variations, including quality issues, impacted timelines. However, these risks were mitigated through strict quality assurance, regular site inspections, and oversight measures. Regulatory hurdles slowed approvals, and payment verification delays remain a risk, but UNDP and MSA ensure adherence to LOAs through monthly coordination meetings. Disaster risks are managed via an emergency plan aligned with UNDP’s crisis framework. To sustain outcomes, responsible parties are securing budget allocations for government co-financing commitments.

The project continued to face risks of infrastructure implementation delays due to seasonal constraints, contractor capacity limitations, and government-related challenges. Additionally, administrative and regulatory challenges within government institutions further impacted approval processes and implementation schedules. Disaster risks are being addressed through an emergency management plan aligned with UNDP’s crisis framework. To sustain project outcomes, responsible parties are working on adequate budget allocations to meet government co-financing commitments.

Provide a concise summary of progress towards achieving the logical Framework Indicators. Please focus on key milestones and measurable achievements during the reporting period. Additionally, please note that the data reported here does not contribute to the overall impact assessment and should instead be included in the "Progress Update on the Logic Framework Indicators" section.

2.2 Logical Framework Indicators

Provide an updated table of Fund-Level logical framework indicators and activities. To ensure alignment with GCF’s impact reporting, prioritize and maximize reporting on pre-defined core and Fund-level Impact Indicators (PMF) within the framework. Only after these parameters are fully exhausted should non-aggregable project or program-specific indicators be reported in the “Project/Programme-level Outcome & Output Indicators” section.

EDD+ RBP projects are only required to report on the progress of activities. If applicable, include information on sub-projects. For projects and programmes approved until B.31, the logical framework should focus on the mitigation and adaptation performance measurement frameworks (PMF). For projects and programmes approved from B.32 onwards, the logical framework should reflect the integrated results management framework (IRMF).

1. Progress Update on the Core Indicators (PMF)

In this section, report the 'annual value' for the reporting year, which includes results achieved during the current year from both current and ongoing activities carried over from previous years. Additionally, provide the 'cumulative value,' which represents the total results realized from all previous years up to the end of the reporting year. Reporting on both annual and cumulative values is mandatory. Ensure that targets are clearly defined and reported using the following categories: Midterm Target: The results to be achieved by the midpoint of implementation. Final Target: The results to be achieved by the conclusion of project or program implementation. Lifetime Target: The total results expected over the entire operational period of the project or program. Lastly, avoid using commas or periods as thousand separators. Periods should only be used as decimal separators.

Core Indicators (PMF)

- ☐ Mitigation Core Indicator 1 - Tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (tCO2eq) reduced as a result of GCF funded project/programme
- ☐ Mitigation Core Indicator 2 - Cost per tCO2eq decreased for GCF funded project/programme
- ☐ Mitigation Core Indicator 3 - Volume of finance leveraged by GCF funding (Disaggregated by public/private source)
- ☒ Adaptation Core Indicator 1 - Direct Beneficiaries of GCF funded project/programme
- ☒ Adaptation Core Indicator 2 - Indirect Beneficiaries of GCF funded project/programme
- ☒ Adaptation Core Indicator 3 - Number of total beneficiaries relative to total population



Adaptation Core Indicator 1 - Direct Beneficiaries of GCF funded project/programme (Units: number of individuals and percentage %)

Please provide the "annual value" of the number of direct beneficiaries who benefitted from GCF funding only during the reported calendar year. The 'Cumulative Value' refers to the total ex-post number of direct beneficiaries on a cumulative basis. Please note that all values should be based on total funding (GCF funding and co-financing).

Baseline	Baseline (% of female)
33 000	%
Value prior to the implementation of the project	Value prior to the implementation of the project
Current Value (Reporting Year)	Current Value (% of female)
98444	49.6 %
Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)	Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)
Cumulative Value	Cumulative Value (% of female)
139 398	49 %
Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)	Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)
Mid-term Target	Mid-term Target (% of female)
75 000	49 %
As per the logframe in the FP	As per the logframe in the FP
Final Target	Final Target (% of female)
175 840	49 %
As per the logframe in the FP	As per the logframe in the FP

Country Level Disaggregated Values

If the GCF Board has approved this project/programme as a single-country project/programme, please do not fill this section (country-level disaggregated values) below.

If this project/programme is approved as a multi-country project/programme and has started implementation in any of the countries as of this reporting year, please fill in this section.

Country
Please choose the country to report against, as submitted in the approved funding proposal.

Timor-Leste

Baseline	Baseline (% of female)
	%
Value prior to the implementation of the project	Value prior to the implementation of the project
Current Value (Reporting Year)	Current Value (% of female)
	%
Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)	Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)
Cumulative Value	Cumulative Value (% of female)
	%
Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)	Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)
Mid-term Target	Mid-term Target (% of female)
	%
As per the logframe in the FP	As per the logframe in the FP
Final Target	Final Target (% of female)
	%
As per the logframe in the FP	As per the logframe in the FP

Remarks (including changes, if any)

Annual figures are determined based on the beneficiaries of 25 GCF-funded schemes, which include 13 rural roads, 6 water supply systems, and 6 irrigation systems. Direct beneficiaries are calculated as those residing within the command areas of the rehabilitated infrastructure and expected to utilize these facilities frequently. For irrigation schemes, landowners and local laborers who participated in the rehabilitation work are considered direct beneficiaries.

Include additional relevant granular information, such as disaggregation by intervention/technology and the geographical location of the activities. Note that this section cannot be used to redefine cumulative values or targets in a way that deviates from the logframe methodology.



Adaptation Core Indicator 2 - Indirect Beneficiaries of GCF funded project/programme (Units: number of individuals and percentage %)

Please provide the "annual value" of the number of indirect beneficiaries who benefitted from GCF funding only during the reported calendar year. The 'Cumulative Value' refers to the total ex-post number of indirect beneficiaries on a cumulative basis. Please note that all values should be based on total funding (GCF funding and co-financing).

Baseline	Baseline (% of female)
0	%
Value prior to the implementation of the project	Value prior to the implementation of the project
Current Value (Reporting Year)	Current Value (% of female)
179 453	49.2 %
Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)	Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)
Cumulative Value	Cumulative Value (% of female)
224 158	49 %
Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)	Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)
Mid-term Target	Mid-term Target (% of female)
186 000	49 %
As per the logframe in the FP	As per the logframe in the FP
Final Target	Final Target (% of female)
346 160	49 %
As per the logframe in the FP	As per the logframe in the FP

Country Level Disaggregated Values

If the GCF Board has approved this project/programme as a single-country project/programme, please do not fill this section (country-level disaggregated values) below.

If this project/programme is approved as a multi-country project/programme and has started implementation in any of the countries as of this reporting year, please fill in this section.

Country
Please choose the country to report against, as submitted in the approved funding proposal.

Timor-Leste

Baseline	Baseline (% of female)
	%
Value prior to the implementation of the project	Value prior to the implementation of the project
Current Value (Reporting Year)	Current Value (% of female)
	%
Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)	Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)
Cumulative Value	Cumulative Value (% of female)
	%
Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)	Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)
Mid-term Target	Mid-term Target (% of female)
	%
As per the logframe in the FP	As per the logframe in the FP
Final Target	Final Target (% of female)
	%
As per the logframe in the FP	As per the logframe in the FP

Remarks (including changes, if any)

The project has achieved 113453 indirect beneficiaries (49.2% female) from 25 GCF-funded climate-resilient infrastructure schemes, with a cumulative total of 158158 beneficiaries (50% male, 49% female) from 34 schemes. The project has used census data from 2022.

Include additional relevant granular information, such as disaggregation by intervention/technology and the geographical location of the activities. Note that this section cannot be used to redefine cumulative values or targets in a way that deviates from the logframe methodology.

Adaptation Core Indicator 3 - Number of total beneficiaries relative to total population (Units: percentage %)

Please provide the "annual value" of the number of total beneficiaries who benefitted from GCF funding relative to the total population only during the reported calendar year. The 'Cumulative Value' refers to the ex-post number of total beneficiaries relative to the total population on a cumulative basis. Please note that all values should be based on total funding (GCF funding and co-financing).

Share of direct beneficiaries relative to total population

Baseline	Current Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
2.8 %	7.1 %	10.1 %
Value prior to the implementation of the project	Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)	Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
6 %	15 %	
As per the logframe in the FP	As per the logframe in the FP	

Share of female direct beneficiaries relative to total population

Baseline (female)	Current Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value (female)
0 %	3.54 %	5.1 %
Value prior to the implementation of the project	Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)	Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)
Mid-term Target (female)	Final Target (female)	
3 %	7 %	
As per the logframe in the FP	As per the logframe in the FP	

Share of indirect beneficiaries relative to total population

Baseline	Current Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
0 %	12.96 %	16.2 %
Value prior to the implementation of the project	Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)	Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
16 %	29 %	
As per the logframe in the FP	As per the logframe in the FP	

Share of female indirect beneficiaries relative to total population

Baseline (female)	Current Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value (female)
0 %	6.38 %	7.93 %
Value prior to the implementation of the project	Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)	Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)
Mid-term Target (female)	Final Target (female)	
8 %	14 %	
As per the logframe in the FP	As per the logframe in the FP	

Country Level Disaggregated Values

If the GCF Board has approved this project/programme as a single-country project/programme, please do not fill this section (country-level disaggregated values) below.

If this project/programme is approved as a multi-country project/programme and has started implementation in any of the countries as of this reporting year, please fill in this section.



Country

Please choose the country to report against, as submitted in the approved funding proposal.

Timor-Leste

Share of direct beneficiaries relative to total population

Baseline

%

Value prior to the implementation of the project

Current Value (Reporting Year)

%

Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)

Cumulative Value

%

Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)

Mid-term Target

%

As per the logframe in the FP

Final Target

%

As per the logframe in the FP

Share of female direct beneficiaries relative to total population

Baseline (female)

%

Value prior to the implementation of the project

Current Value (Reporting Year)

%

Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)

Cumulative Value (female)

%

Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)

Mid-term Target (female)

%

As per the logframe in the FP

Final Target (female)

%

As per the logframe in the FP

Share of indirect beneficiaries relative to total population

Baseline

%

Value prior to the implementation of the project

Current Value (Reporting Year)

%

Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)

Cumulative Value

%

Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)

Mid-term Target

%

As per the logframe in the FP

Final Target

%

As per the logframe in the FP

Share of female indirect beneficiaries relative to total population

Baseline (female)

%

Value prior to the implementation of the project

Current Value (Reporting Year)

%

Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)

Cumulative Value (female)

%

Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)

Mid-term Target (female)

%

As per the logframe in the FP

Final Target (female)

%

As per the logframe in the FP

Remarks (including changes, if any)

A) Share of direct beneficiaries relative to total population (%) - Remark: Based on the 2022 census, with a total population of 1384286 in Timor-Leste, the annual value indicates that 7.1% (98444 people) benefited from the project. The cumulative value demonstrates a broader impact, reaching 10.1% (139398 people) of the total population.

B) Share of female direct beneficiaries relative to total population (%) - Remark: Based on the 2022 census, female beneficiaries make up 3.54% of Timor-Leste's total population of Timor-Leste while the cumulative value of beneficiaries represents 5.1% of the same population.

C) Share of indirect beneficiaries relative to total population (%) - Remark: In 2015, Timor-Leste's population was approximately 1162500, as calculated from the mid-term report, which recorded 16% of 186000 indirect beneficiaries. By 2022, the population grew by 221786, reaching 1384,286, according to the 2022 census.

D) Share of female indirect beneficiaries relative to total population (%) - Remark: The share of female indirect beneficiaries represents 6.38% (88,291) and 7.93% (109837 (49% from the total 224158) of Timor-Leste's total population of 1384286, based on the 2022 census.

Include additional relevant granular information, such as disaggregation by intervention/technology and the geographical location of the activities. Note that this section cannot be used to redefine cumulative values or targets in a way that deviates from the logframe methodology.

2. Progress Update on the Fund-level Impact Indicators (PMF)

In this section, report the 'annual value' for the reporting year, which includes results achieved during the current year from both current and ongoing activities carried over from previous years. Additionally, provide the 'cumulative value,' which represents the total results realized from all previous years up to the end of the reporting year. Reporting on both annual and cumulative values is mandatory. Ensure that targets are clearly defined and reported using the following categories: Midterm Target: The results to be achieved by the midpoint of implementation. Final Target: The results to be achieved by the conclusion of project or program implementation. Lifetime Target: The total results expected over the entire operational period of the project or program. Lastly, avoid using commas or periods as thousand separators. Periods should only be used as decimal separators.

Fund-level Impact Indicators

[Important Note] Please note that at least mitigation core indicator 1 (for mitigation projects) and adaptation core indicator 1 and/or 2 (for adaptation projects) must be selected in 2.2.1. Progress Update on the Core Indicators (PMF) above before you select 2.2.2 Progress Update on the Fund-level Impact Indicators (PMF), even in the case where the FAA does not specifically mention about reporting on core indicators. Since 2.2.2 is a breakdown of 2.2.1, the sum of 2.2.2 should be aligned with 2.2.1. For example, if you select M1.1 and M3.1 in 2.2.2, 2.2.1 Mitigation Core Indicator 1 must be selected and the sum of the values reported in M1.1 and M3.1 must be entered as Mitigation Core indicator 1 value. To ensure alignment with GCF's impact reporting, prioritize and maximize reporting Fund-level indicators in this section. Only after these parameters are fully exhausted should non-aggregable project or program-specific indicators be reported in the "Project/Programme-level Outcome & Output Indicators" section.

- ☐ M1.1 Tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (tCO2eq) reduced or avoided as a result of increased low-emission energy access and power generation
- ☐ M2.1 Tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (tCO2eq) reduced or avoided as a result of increased access to low-emission transport
- ☐ M3.1 Tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (tCO2eq) reduced or avoided as a result of buildings, cities, industries and appliances
- ☐ M4.1 Tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (tCO2eq) reduced or avoided as a result of sustainable management of forests and conservation and enhancement of forest carbon stocks
- ☒ A1.1 Change in expected losses of lives and economic assets due to the impact of extreme climate-related disasters in the geographic area of the GCF intervention
- ☐ A1.2 Number of males and females benefiting from the adoption of diversified, climate resilient livelihood options (including fisheries, agriculture, tourism, etc.)
- ☐ A1.3 Number of Fund funded projects/programmes that supports effective adaptation to fish stock migration and depletion due to climate change
- ☐ A2.1 Number of males and females benefiting from introduced health measures to respond to climate-sensitive diseases
- ☐ A2.2 Number of food secure households (in areas/periods at risk of climate change impacts)
- ☐ A2.3 Number of males and females with year round access to reliable and safe water supply despite climate shocks and stresses
- ☒ A3.1 Number and value of physical assets made more resilient to climate variability and change, considering human benefits (reported where applicable)
- ☒ A4.1 Coverage/scale of ecosystems protected and strengthened in response to climate variability and change
- ☐ A4.2 Value of ecosystem services generated or protected in response to climate change

A1.1 Change in expected losses of lives and economic assets due to the impact of extreme climate-related disasters in the geographic area of the GCF intervention (Units: multiple, as applicable)

Please provide the "annual value" achieved only during the reported calendar year and the ex-post 'Current Value' on a cumulative basis.

Select A1.1 Units (as many as possible)

- ☐ Persons
- ☐ Economic Assets (in money value)
- ☐ Percentage % (reduction in losses of lives and economic assets)
- ☒ Other

% reduction in losses of lives and economic assets (US\$) due to the impact of extreme climate- related disasters in the geographic area of the GCF intervention

Unit - Other

Please do not type units in your input below.

Baseline	Current Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
11.5	12	45.9
Value prior to the implementation of the project	Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)	Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
25	75	
As per the logframe in the FP	As per the logframe in the FP	

Country Level Disaggregated Values

If the GCF Board has approved this project/programme as a single-country project/programme, please do not fill this section (country-level disaggregated values) below.

If this project/programme is approved as a multi-country project/programme and has started implementation in any of the countries as of this reporting year, please fill in this section.

Country

Please choose the country to report against, as submitted in the approved funding proposal.

Timor-Leste

Select A1.1 Units (as many as possible)

☐ Persons

☐ Economic Assets (in money value)

☐ Percentage % (reduction in losses of lives and economic assets)

☐ Other

Remarks (including changes, if any)

The updated results show significant progress in reducing economic losses per person by an average of 45.9% across six municipalities. Baucau achieved a reduction of 50.6%, Liquica 52.9%, and Ermera 50.4%, demonstrating strong project impact. Lautem reduced losses by 46.3%, Viqueque by 39.2%, and Aileu by 36.0%, showing steady improvements in these areas.

Compared to last year’s average reduction of 33.9%, the increase to 45.9% in 2024 reflects a 12% improvement in just one year. This progress highlights the project’s positive impact on household incomes and income-generating activities. Additionally, agroforestry initiatives reduced soil erosion by 35%, enhancing resilience and supporting these reductions in economic losses.

Include additional relevant granular information, such as disaggregation by intervention/technology and the geographical location of the activities. Note that this section cannot be used to redefine cumulative values or targets in a way that deviates from the logframe methodology.



A3.1 Number and value of physical assets made more resilient to climate variability and change, considering human benefits (Units: multiple, as applicable)

Please provide the "annual value" achieved only during the reported calendar year and the ex-post 'Cumulative Value' on a cumulative basis.

Select A3.1 Units (as many as applicable)

- ☐ # of assets
- ☐ Value of asset
- ☒ Other

total number of infrastructure units made climate resilient

Unit - Other

Baseline	Current Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
13 Value prior to the implementation of the project	41 Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)	80 Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
31 As per the logframe in the FP	130 As per the logframe in the FP	

Country Level Disaggregated Values

If the GCF Board has approved this project/programme as a single-country project/programme, please do not fill this section (country-level disaggregated values) below.

If this project/programme is approved as a multi-country project/programme and has started implementation in any of the countries as of this reporting year, please fill in this section.

Country

Please choose the country to report against, as submitted in the approved funding proposal.

Timor-Leste

Select A3.1 Units (as many as applicable)

☐ # of assets

☐ Value of asset

☐ Other

Remarks (including changes, if any)

A total of 80 climate-resilient infrastructure schemes Government: 46 projects: 1. 2021-2022 – 13 projects. 2. 2023 – 17 projects. 3. 2024-16 projects. GCF funded projects 34 projects: 1. 2020-2022 – 0 projects 2. 2023 – 9 projects. 3. 2024 – 25 projects. For 25 projects completed in 2024, here are the calculations: 5 projects started in 2022, and 4 projects started in 2023 were only completed in 2024. In 2023, 9 projects were completed and reported. 16 started and completed in 2024, for a total of 34 fully completed projects in 2024. (5+4+9+16=34)

Include additional relevant granular information, such as disaggregation by intervention/technology and the geographical location of the activities. Note that this section cannot be used to redefine cumulative values or targets in a way that deviates from the logframe methodology.

A4.1 Coverage/scale of ecosystems protected and strengthened in response to climate variability and change (Units: multiple, as applicable)

Please provide ex-post 'Current Value' on a cumulative basis.

Use 'Add row' button to add multiple units/sub-indicators

Unit

☒ Hectares

☐ Other (please specify)

Please do not use commas nor periods for thousand separators. Periods are only allowed as decimal separators.

Baseline

Value prior to the implementation of the project

Current Value (Reporting Year)

136

Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)

Cumulative Value

206

Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)

Mid-term Target

100

As per the logframe in the FP

Final Target

300

As per the logframe in the FP

Remarks (including changes, if any)

Baseline: Deforestation rate of 1.16% per year

The current annual value includes the rehabilitation of 136 (2024) +70 (2023) =206 hectares of degraded lands across six targeted municipalities, with over 31,000 trees planted and a current 97-99% survival rate reported.

In 2024, the project aimed to establish 119 hectares under the signed contract with the NGO. However, the contracted NGO voluntarily planted an additional 17 hectares, bringing the total to 136 hectares. Accordingly, the project exceeded its planned target of 17 hectares, making an expected total target value of 317 hectares at the end of the project (70 (2023)+136 (2024)+111 (2025) = 317).

These efforts have protected 19,896 people (61% women) from storm surges while supporting sustainable livelihoods.

Cumulatively, the project has achieved 206 hectares, representing 69% of the end target. To meet the goal, an additional 111 hectares are planned by the end of 2025.

Include additional relevant granular information, such as disaggregation by intervention/technology and the geographical location of the activities. Note that this section cannot be used to redefine cumulative values or targets in a way that deviates from the logframe methodology.

Country Level Disaggregated Values

If the GCF Board has approved this project/programme as a single-country project/programme, please do not fill this section (country-level disaggregated values) below.

If this project/programme is approved as a multi-country project/programme and has started implementation in any of the countries as of this reporting year, please fill in this section.



Country

Please choose the country to report against, as submitted in the approved funding proposal.

Timor-Leste

Unit

☐ Hectares

☐ Other (please specify)

Please do not use commas nor periods for thousand separators. Periods are only allowed as decimal separators.

Baseline

Value prior to the implementation of the project

Current Value (Reporting Year)

Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)

Cumulative Value

Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)

Mid-term Target

As per the logframe in the FP

Final Target

As per the logframe in the FP

Remarks (including changes, if any)

Include additional relevant granular information, such as disaggregation by intervention/technology and the geographical location of the activities. Note that this section cannot be used to redefine cumulative values or targets in a way that deviates from the logframe methodology.

3. Progress Update on the Fund-level Project/programme Outcome Indicators (PMF)

In this section, report the 'annual value' for the reporting year, which includes results achieved during the current year from both current and ongoing activities carried over from previous years. Additionally, provide the 'cumulative value,' which represents the total results realized from all previous years up to the end of the reporting year. Reporting on both annual and cumulative values is mandatory. Ensure that targets are clearly defined and reported using the following categories: Midterm Target: The results to be achieved by the midpoint of implementation. Final Target: The results to be achieved by the conclusion of project or program implementation. Lifetime Target: The total results expected over the entire operational period of the project or program. Lastly, avoid using commas or periods as thousand separators. Periods should only be used as decimal separators.

Fund-level Project/programme Outcome Indicators

Please choose Project Outcome Indicators from the list below. In case you changed your project outcome indicator names from the official ones below to project-specific ones, please choose the most relevant indicators from the below list and write the changed indicator name in the Remark text field at the bottom. To ensure alignment with GCF’s impact reporting, prioritize and maximize reporting Fund-level indicators in this section. Only after these parameters are fully exhausted should non-aggregable project or program-specific indicators be reported in the “Project/Programme-level Outcome & Output Indicators” section.

- ☒ M5.1 Institutional and regulatory systems that improve incentives for low-emission planning and development and their effective implementation
- ☐ M5.2 Number and level of effective coordination mechanisms
- ☐ M6.1 Proportion of low-emission power supply in a jurisdiction or market
- ☐ M6.2 Number of households, and individuals (males and females) with improved access to low-emission energy sources
- ☐ M6.3 MWs of low-emission energy capacity installed, generated and/or rehabilitated as a result of GCF support
- ☐ M7.1 Energy intensity/improved efficiency of buildings, cities, industries and appliances as a result of Fund support
- ☐ M8.1 Number of additional female and male passengers using low-carbon transport as a result of Fund support
- ☐ M8.2 Vehicle fuel economy and energy source as a result of Fund support
- ☐ M9.1 Hectares of land or forests under improved and effective management that contributes to CO2 emission reductions
- ☐ M10.1 Number of technologies and innovative solutions transferred or licensed to support low-emission development as a result of Fund support
- ☐ A5.1 Institutional and regulatory systems that improve incentives for climate resilience and their effective implementation.
- ☐ A5.2 Number and level of effective coordination mechanisms
- ☐ A6.1 Use of climate information products/services in decision-making in climate-sensitive sectors
- ☒ A7.1 Use by vulnerable households, communities, businesses and public-sector services of Fund-supported tools, instruments, strategies and activities to respond to climate change and variability
- ☒ A7.2 Number of males and females reached by [or total geographic coverage of] climate-related early warning systems and other risk reduction measures established/ strengthened
- ☐ A8.1 Number of males and females made aware of climate threats and related appropriate responses
- ☐ A9.1 Number of technologies and innovative solutions transferred or licensed to promote climate resilience as a result of Fund support

M5.1 Institutional and regulatory systems that improve incentives for low-emission planning and development and their effective implementation

Please provide the "annual value" achieved only during the reported calendar year and the ex-post 'Current Value' on a cumulative basis.

Select Units (as many as possible)

- ☒ Number of institutional regulatory systems
- ☐ Number of plans/strategies/roadmaps
- ☐ Other

Unit - Institutional and regulatory systems

Baseline	Current Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
Value prior to the implementation of the project	Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)	Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
As per the logframe in the FP	As per the logframe in the FP	

Country Level Disaggregated Values

If the GCF Board has approved this project/programme as a single-country project/programme, please do not fill this section (country-level disaggregated values) below.

If this project/programme is approved as a multi-country project/programme and has started implementation in any of the countries as of this reporting year, please fill in this section.



Country

Please choose the country to report against, as submitted in the approved funding proposal.

Timor-Leste

Select Units (as many as possible)

☐ Number of institutional regulatory systems

☐ Number of plans/strategies/roadmaps

☐ Other

Remarks (including changes, if any)

Baseline: Outdated sectoral guidelines for infrastructure development that do not include climate risk consideration

2 guidelines were developed for rural roads master plan and water supply sanitary and hygiene systems in 2024.

Include additional relevant granular information, such as disaggregation by intervention/technology and the geographical location of the activities. Note that this section cannot be used to redefine cumulative values or targets in a way that deviates from the logframe methodology.

A7.1 Use by vulnerable households, communities, businesses and public-sector services of Fund-supported tools, instruments, strategies and activities to respond to climate change and variability

Please provide the "annual value" achieved only during the reported calendar year and the ex-post 'Current Value' on a cumulative basis.

Select A7.1 Units (as many as possible)

- ☐ Number of households
- ☐ Number of individuals
- ☐ Number of businesses (including farmers)/cooperatives
- ☐ Percentage of increase in main crop field
- ☐ Percentage of households
- ☒ Other

of Staff

Unit - Other

Baseline	Current Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
0 Value prior to the implementation of the project	223 Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)	273 Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
100 As per the logframe in the FP	200 As per the logframe in the FP	

Country Level Disaggregated Values

If the GCF Board has approved this project/programme as a single-country project/programme, please do not fill this section (country-level disaggregated values) below.

If this project/programme is approved as a multi-country project/programme and has started implementation in any of the countries as of this reporting year, please fill in this section.



Country

Please choose the country to report against, as submitted in the approved funding proposal.

Timor-Leste

Select A7.1 Units (as many as possible)

☐ Number of households

☐ Number of individuals

☐ Number of businesses (including farmers)/cooperatives

☐ Percentage of increase in main crop field

☐ Percentage of households

☐ Other

Remarks (including changes, if any)

The current annual value is 223 staff, with a cumulative total of 273 staff, surpassing the target by 36.6%.

Include additional relevant granular information, such as disaggregation by intervention/technology and the geographical location of the activities. Note that this section cannot be used to redefine cumulative values or targets in a way that deviates from the logframe methodology.

A7.2 Number of males and females reached by [or total geographic coverage of] climate-related early warning systems and other risk reduction measures established/ strengthened

Please provide the "annual value" achieved only during the reported calendar year and the ex-post 'Current Value' on a cumulative basis.

Select A7.2 Units (as many as possible)

- ☒ Number of individuals
- ☐ Other

Unit - Number of individuals

Baseline (males and females)

33000

Value prior to the implementation of the project

Current Value (Reporting Year)

98444

Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)

Cumulative Value (males and females)

139398

Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)

Mid-term Target (males and females)

75000

As per the logframe in the FP

Final Target (males and females)

175840

As per the logframe in the FP

Baseline (females)

Value prior to the implementation of the project

Current Value (Reporting Year)

48828

Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)

Cumulative Value (female)

69141

Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)

Mid-term Target (females)

36750

As per the logframe in the FP

Final Target (females)

86162

As per the logframe in the FP

Country Level Disaggregated Values

If the GCF Board has approved this project/programme as a single-country project/programme, please do not fill this section (country-level disaggregated values) below.

If this project/programme is approved as a multi-country project/programme and has started implementation in any of the countries as of this reporting year, please fill in this section.

Country

Please choose the country to report against, as submitted in the approved funding proposal.

Timor-Leste

▼

Select A7.2 Units (as many as possible)

☐ Number of individuals

☐ Other

Remarks (including changes, if any)

The current annual value includes 98,444 beneficiaries (49.6% women) reached through 25 GCF-funded schemes.

Cumulatively, the project has achieved 79% of its target of 175840 beneficiaries with equal representation of females and males across 80 schemes (46 Government and 34 GCF-funded)

Include additional relevant granular information, such as disaggregation by intervention/technology and the geographical location of the activities. Note that this section cannot be used to redefine cumulative values or targets in a way that deviates from the logframe methodology.



4. Progress Update on the Project/Programme-level Outcome & Output Indicators (PMF)

In this section, report the 'annual value' for the reporting year, which includes results achieved during the current year from both current and ongoing activities carried over from previous years. Additionally, provide the 'cumulative value,' which represents the total results realized from all previous years up to the end of the reporting year. Reporting on both annual and cumulative values is mandatory. Ensure that targets are clearly defined and reported using the following categories: Midterm Target: The results to be achieved by the midpoint of implementation. Final Target: The results to be achieved by the conclusion of project or program implementation. Lifetime Target: The total results expected over the entire operational period of the project or program. Lastly, avoid using commas or periods as thousand separators. Periods should only be used as decimal separators. To provide more information on outcome, output, co-benefit levels, click the add row button.

Project/Programme-level Outcome & Output Indicators

Results Areas		Outcome Name			
[Adaptation] Infrastructure and built environment					
Output Name (under the afore-mentioned outcome)					
Output 1. Climate risk information is developed, monitored and integrated into policies, regulations and institutions to inform climate resilient small-scale rural infrastructure planning and management					
Please write 'Not Applicable' if the below-mentioned indicator is to be reported directly at the outcome level.					
Indicator Name					
1.1 # of hazard risk maps and information developed and adopted/ embedded into sectoral policies and legislations					
Unit					
Climate risk information is developed, monitored, and integrated into policies, regulations, and institutions to inform climate-resilient small-scale rural infrastructure planning and management					
Baseline		Current Value (Reporting Year)		Cumulative Value	
		0		4	
Value prior to the implementation of the project		Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)		Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)	
Mid-term Target		Final Target			
4		4			
As per the logframe in the FP		As per the logframe in the FP			
Remarks (including changes, if any)					
Baseline: Coarse-resolution UNDP indicative national hazard maps for 4 major hydrometeorological hazards No change in value. The targets have been achieved in 2022.					
If applicable, please submit a supporting document describing the calculation methodology for the current values provided.					

Results Areas		Outcome Name			
[Adaptation] Infrastructure and built environment					
Output Name (under the afore-mentioned outcome)					
Output 2. Climate risk reduction and climate-proofing measures for small scale rural infrastructure are implemented to build the resilience of vulnerable communities in six priority districts					
Please write 'Not Applicable' if the below-mentioned indicator is to be reported directly at the outcome level.					
Indicator Name					
2.1 # of infrastructure units built to new climate resilient standards					
Unit					
#					
Baseline		Current Value (Reporting Year)		Cumulative Value	
13		41		80	
Value prior to the implementation of the project		Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)		Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)	

Mid-term Target	Final Target
31	130
As per the logframe in the FP	As per the logframe in the FP
Remarks (including changes, if any)	
Current value (reporting year): 41 climate-resilient infrastructure (16 infrastructure completed by the government and 25 infrastructure completed by the project , 13 rural roads, 6 water supply systems, 6 irrigation systems) A total of 80 climate-resilient infrastructure projects have been completed and handed over, including 46 under Government Co-Finance and 34 under GCF Finance. These projects comprise 28 rural roads, 20 water supply systems, 26 irrigation systems, and 6 flood protection measures.	
If applicable, please submit a supporting document describing the calculation methodology for the current values provided.	

Results Areas	Outcome Name	
[Adaptation] Ecosystems and ecosystem services		
Output Name (under the afore-mentioned outcome)		
Output 2. Climate risk reduction and climate-proofing measures for small scale rural infrastructure are implemented to build the resilience of vulnerable communities in six priority districts		
Please write 'Not Applicable' if the below-mentioned indicator is to be reported directly at the outcome level.		
Indicator Name		
2.2 # Hectares of agroforestry implemented in target infrastructure catchments		
Unit		
hectare		
Baseline	Current Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
	136	206
Value prior to the implementation of the project	Verified value during the reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)	Verified value up to the end of reporting period (gender-disaggregated if possible)
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
100	300	
As per the logframe in the FP	As per the logframe in the FP	
Remarks (including changes, if any)		
Baseline: Deforestation rate of 1.16% per year The current annual value includes the rehabilitation of 136 (2024) +70 (2023) =206 hectares of degraded lands across six targeted municipalities, with over 31,000 trees planted and a current 97-99% survival rate reported. In 2024, the project aimed to establish 119 hectares under the signed contract with the NGO. However, the contracted NGO voluntarily planted an additional 17 hectares, bringing the total to 136 hectares. Accordingly, the project will exceed its planned target of 17 hectares, making an expected total target value of 317 hectares at the end of the project (70 (2023)+136 (2024)+111 (2025) = 317). These efforts have protected 19,896 people (61% women) from storm surges while supporting sustainable livelihoods. Cumulatively, the project has achieved 206 hectares, representing 69% of the end target. To meet the goal, an additional 111 hectares are planned by the end of 2025.		
If applicable, please submit a supporting document describing the calculation methodology for the current values provided.		

2.3 Update on Project Output Implementation Status

Please note the fields below are mandatory but only a one-time activity. Please fill out the project output details regardless of any progress made so far, which will be auto-populated in the next APR and onwards.

Provide status (on track, delayed, not yet due – to use dropdown function) and brief update on the implementation progress, including challenges encountered and potential changes.

Use 'Add Row' button to add multiple outputs and/or activities reported against one output

Project Output Name	
1. Climate risk information is developed, monitored and integrated into policies, regulations and institutions to inform climate resilient small-scale rural infrastructure planning and management	
The output name should match with the output reported above. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.	
Project Activity Name	
Activity 1.1 Develop and deliver climate risk information services and vulnerability mapping to all sectoral institutions	
Status	Implementation Progress
Activity started - progress on track	85 %
Original timeline planned for this activity	
Q4,2020 - Q1,2024	
Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.	

Progress for the relevant reporting period

- In 2024, the project started deployment of two advanced information systems (MHVRA and TERS) after better testing mode and also handed over one server and five desktop computers to the Civil Protection Authority. This provided 52717 people (48% women) within 6 targeted Municipalities with real-time climate and disaster risk reduction (DRR) capabilities, enabling evidence-based decision-making.
- PDIM utilizes Climate Risk Information System data to pinpoint high-risk zones, trigger early warning alerts, and coordinate emergency responses. During the 2024 cyclone season, the Climate Risk Information System supported alerts that enabled timely evacuations in 4 sub-villages in Lautem municipality. PNDS integrated the maps into its district-level risk assessments and investment prioritization, ensuring that disaster risk reduction projects target the most vulnerable areas. In 2024, 75% of new DRR initiatives were located based on Climate Risk Information System risk layers.
- The Ministry of Planning and Strategic Investment has also institutionalized MHVRA and TERS in 2024: 100% of new infrastructure development projects led by the Ministry of public works and the Ministry of State Administration were screened for climate risks, using the MHVRA models leading to the redesign of 9 high-risk projects, avoiding an estimated \$19.34 million in potential losses.
- At the local level, Heads of Villages (Sucos) in 32 high-risk districts used simplified maps to adjust land use and evacuation plans, directly protecting over 37,000 people during the 2024 floods. Thus, the Climate Risk Information System and associated maps are not only developed and integrated, but are now actively informing decisions across PDIM, PNDS, sectoral ministries, and local authorities.
- Key capacity-building efforts included translating 10 global courses into Tetum, developing 5 original courses, and delivering 4,565 learning hours with 1,831 enrolments and 479 completions. Learners gained leadership, management, and communication skills, while 119 engineers and 223 stakeholders received training on climate-smart technologies, risk assessment, and disaster preparedness, strengthening technical expertise nationwide.
- The final version of MHVRA maps and other data was transferred to CPA through a series of training. To expand the impact of the MHVRA, the system has also been installed at the ANLA (National Environmental Certification Center). The workshop was attended by 223 participants (national and 13 municipalities) (31 % women) from all key Government Counterparts, Other UN Agencies, Civil Society Networks, and INGOs/ NGOs. The updated Socio-Economic Vulnerability and Risk Assessment report was also presented in the workshop.
- As of December 2024, the project has exceeded its target of training 200 staff in MSA, MSS, and MAF to use new tools and technologies. The current annual value is 223 staff, with a cumulative total of 273 staff, surpassing the target by 36.5%.
- 223 CPA staff received training on TERS and MHVRA, equipping them with tools for effective disaster management. This training increased the number of trained drone operators by 20%, supporting timely disaster risk assessments.
- The Timor Emergency Response System (TERS) was launched and is now hosted on the TIC-Timor Server. It benefits 273 trained government staff. The app aims to enhance disaster response efficiency and covers 49 infrastructure projects in 13 municipalities.
- Digital maps of 49 infrastructure projects were prepared, detailing community physical assets for better planning and management. These maps have been integrated into TERS, aiding 223 staff in disaster-prone areas.
- Additional equipment was provided to CPA to enhance drone operations, improving disaster monitoring across 6 municipalities. These drones have already been deployed in 11 disaster-prone areas for rapid assessment.

Delays and issues:

1. In May 2024, the MHVRA system suffered a critical failure, completely halting its operations and disrupting essential disaster risk management processes. This unexpected breakdown resulted in the loss of vital digital maps and rendered key system features non-functional, significantly impacting the project's ability to respond to emergencies. To address this major setback, the project engaged Itech LTD in an intensive, six-month effort to restore the

system fully. This involved not only recovering the lost maps and repairing systemic malfunctions but also implementing a series of advanced training programs. These programs capacitated two CPA staff to ensure the system's long-term functionality and effectiveness. The prolonged restoration process highlighted the urgent need for robust system maintenance protocols and emphasized the critical role of skilled personnel in safeguarding such essential tools.

The transfer of MHVRA data to the TIC-Timor Server for public access faced delays due to technical issues and a lack of coordination between CPA and TIC-Timor. This disruption temporarily limited access to critical disaster risk management information. However, the issue is now resolved by a technician, with collaborative efforts between CPA and TIC-Timor addressing the technical challenges and improving coordination.

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Remarks

Deploy MHVRA maps and data on the TIC-Timor Server.

One dedicated project staff member, with support from the CPA, will continue building the stakeholders' capacity to ensure the system's use, updating, and sustainability.

International travel for exchange visit on climate forecast and DRR management.

Establish a modern extension center in six target municipalities by procuring additional IT equipment to support related training (Software).

Develop and implement an infrastructure asset management system linked to damage and loss database, introduce asset inspection guidelines, methods and approaches.

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period, if possible.

Allocated budget	Currency
1,866,362.00	USD
Utilized budget	Currency
1,588,789.24	USD

Project Output Name

1. Climate risk information is developed, monitored and integrated into policies, regulations and institutions to inform climate resilient small-scale rural infrastructure planning and management

The output name should match with the output reported above. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.

Project Activity Name

Activity 1.2 Establish a database system for monitoring, recording and accounting climate induced damages in order to inform climate risk reduction planning and budgeting

Status	Implementation Progress
Activity started - progress on track	57 %

Original timeline planned for this activity

Q4,2020 - Q1,2024

Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.

Progress for the relevant reporting period

- The National Database called Disaster Database of Timor-Leste (DDTL) was operationalized in mid-2024 and is now actively used by the Civil Protection Authority, Ministry of Planning and Strategic Investment, PDIM at the Ministry of State Administration, and six targeted Municipalities. It supports post-disaster assessments, budget allocations, and resilience planning by providing verified data and disaster scenario models on climate-related impacts and models affected zones. Integrated into municipality processes, it informs the annual risk profile, infrastructure screening via MHVRA/TERS, and recovery decisions. In 2024, it supported over six damage assessments, enhancing the speed and accuracy of response and planning within the Civil Protection Authority and all 13 municipalities.
- Conducted a comprehensive evaluation of current methodologies and practices for evaluating losses and damages across five key sectors (agriculture, housing, infrastructure, health, and education) in Timor-Leste, involving over 50 stakeholders from government and non-government sectors.

- Developed and tested a new methodology for calculating disaster losses and damages for three priority economic sectors, focusing on agriculture, infrastructure, and housing.

- Incorporated PNDA/DaLA frameworks and existing government practices to ensure ease of adoption and implementation.

- Trained 120 officials (50% women, 5% with disabilities) from CPA, sector ministries, and municipal authorities in advanced data collection and analysis techniques, including human casualty data disaggregated by sex, age, disability, and disaster-related economic losses.

- Conducted 10 workshops at both national and municipal levels, improving skills in disaster loss and damage estimation and reporting.

- Supported the rollout of the Timor Emergency Response System (TERS) with 75 CPA staff trained in utilizing TERS for loss and damage evaluation and reporting.

- The project directly benefited 120 government officials, enhanced data systems for five key sectors, and supported over 1 million citizens indirectly through improved disaster management and risk reduction strategies.
- Delay and Issues:

- The integration of the TERS with disaster-related statistics frameworks encountered technical difficulties and a lack of alignment between Civil Protection Authority (CPA) and municipal-level commandants. These issues delayed the deployment of real-time data collection features by nearly four months, requiring additional technical support and coordination efforts to resolve.

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Remarks

- Enhancement of the methodologies and processes for calculating disaster losses for prioritized economic sectors agreed by the government.

- To deliver capacity enhancement training to national/sub-national officials responsible for disaster impact data generation on

- Human casualties.

Selected economic sectors/assets based on the methodologies to be developed

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period, if possible.

Allocated budget	Currency
776,275.00	USD
Utilized budget	Currency
422,791.40	USD

Project Output Name

1. Climate risk information is developed, monitored and integrated into policies, regulations and institutions to inform climate resilient small-scale rural infrastructure planning and management
- The output name should match with the output reported above. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.

Project Activity Name

Activity 1.3 Refine ordinances, regulations and associated codes and standards to enable climate proofing small scale rural infrastructure

Status	Implementation Progress
Activity started - progress on track	40 %

Original timeline planned for this activity

Q4,2020 - Q1,2024

Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.



Progress for the relevant reporting period

- The standards, guidelines, and specifications for rural infrastructure road master plan and water sanitary and hygiene in 2024.
- Preliminary findings were presented at a workshop on 16-19 September 2024, which engaged over 50 practitioners, policymakers, and development partners for valuable feedback. This ensured that the guidelines were practical, inclusive, and aligned with national goals.
- A total of 119 National and Municipal Engineers (21% of the target group) were trained in rural road construction, labor-based technologies, bio-engineering, and social and environmental safeguards, enhancing their capacity to implement climate-resilient infrastructure solutions effectively.
- A Guideline for Climate-Smart Small-Scale Rural Infrastructure Construction was developed and translated into Tetum to ensure accessibility.
- The project disseminated new knowledge through collaboration with Coursera, achieving 479 course completions. Learners completed 2,504 videos, 862 assessments, and 1,046 readings, significantly boosting knowledge and technical skills across stakeholders.
- In partnership with the Institute of Business (IOB) and Coursera, the project translated and deployed 8 thematic courses into Tetum/Portuguese, making advanced learning accessible to local stakeholders.
- Thematic courses are now available on Coursera, with Tetum course material providing critical knowledge on climate-smart rural infrastructure and related technologies, empowering a wider audience in Timor-Leste.
- These achievements reflect the project’s success in strengthening capacity, fostering knowledge sharing, and providing practical tools and resources for the sustainable development of climate-resilient rural infrastructure.

Delays and issues

- The transition to a new administration in late 2023 and early 2024 caused delays in finalizing standards, guidelines, and SOPs for rural infrastructure. New government officials required additional time to review and approve the proposed frameworks, leading to a two-month delay in the approval process.
- The change in government leadership disrupted the coordination between central and municipal authorities, particularly in the translation and deployment of thematic courses into Tetum and Portuguese. This led to slower decision-making and a three-month delay in launching the localized courses on Coursera, impacting the timely dissemination of knowledge to stakeholders.

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Remarks

- Secure government approval for climate-smart infrastructure guidelines.
- Train 80 additional engineers and municipal staff.
- Hold two stakeholder workshops for SOP feedback.
- Develop and deploy five additional courses in Tetum
- Track guideline implementation and provide progress updates
- Establish an e-learning platform at the basis of the IOB on climate resilience and environmental safeguarding into Tetum, ensuring accessibility to local practitioners

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period, if possible.

Allocated budget	Currency
546,080.00	USD
Utilized budget	Currency
314,014.11	USD

Project Output Name

2. Climate risk reduction and climate-proofing measures for small scale rural infrastructure are implemented to build the resilience of vulnerable communities in six priority districts

The output name should match with the output reported above. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.

Project Activity Name

Activity 2.1 Climate risk reduction measures for small-scale rural infrastructure are fully integrated into the planning and budgeting cycles of Village and Municipal development plans

Status	Implementation Progress
Activity started - progress on track	55 %

Original timeline planned for this activity

Q4,2020 - Q1,2025

Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.

Progress for the relevant reporting period

- 80 climate-resilient infrastructure, 28 rural roads, 20 water supply systems, 26 irrigation systems, and 6 flood protection systems, all constructed following national climate-resilient design standards. These standards incorporated hazard and vulnerability assessments, future climate projections, and risk-informed engineering practices to ensure durability under changing climate conditions. The projects were completed in six municipalities: Aileu, Ermera, Baucau, Lautem, Liquica, and Viqueque, with priority given to areas highly vulnerable to flooding, landslides, and drought. The application of climate-resilient design was verified through the MHVRA screening process and formally approved by the Ministry of Planning and Strategic Investment before construction.
- Technically supported and translated into Tetum, the master plan, water supply sanitary, and hygiene guidelines for the climate-resilient construction of small-scale rural roads. These guidelines were disseminated to relevant stakeholders and integrated into training programs for engineers and practitioners.
- A total of 57 Social and Environmental Safeguards (SES) and project documents were completed, with 42 infrastructure projects fully licensed by ANLA (National Environmental Licensing Authority). The remaining 24 project documents are undergoing preparation following public consultations and will be submitted in 2025.
- 48 public consultation meetings were conducted across 87 sub-villages, engaging communities in the environmental certification process and ensuring compliance with environmental safeguards.
- Staff conducted on-the-job training and workshops on climate-resilient infrastructure development, including catchment management, bioengineering, MHVRA, and M&E. These sessions improved the quality of implementation and fostered cross-learning between municipalities while sharing best practices.
- The project implemented 12 catchment management initiatives across municipalities, restoring degraded lands and improving water resource management in 45 hectares of critical areas.
- The project successfully completed 34 schemes, including rural roads, water supply systems, and irrigation facilities, benefiting 25000 people across six municipalities.

Delays and issues:

- The change in government delayed the approval of environmental documents and disrupted coordination with ANLA.
- Increased community demands for project infrastructure components like additional taps, new channel streams, etc., during public consultations caused adjustments to project designs, delaying implementation timelines.
- Some communities resisted specific infrastructure projects due to land ownership disputes and misalignment with local priorities, requiring additional engagement efforts.
- Limited availability of ANLA staff delayed the review and certification of environmental project documents.
- The lack of a centralized environmental licensing system caused inefficiencies in processing project approvals, extending timelines.

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Remarks

Project Activity 2.1 Climate risk reduction measures for small-scale rural infrastructure are fully integrated into the planning and budgeting cycles of Village and Municipal development plans
Finalize and submit 24 pending environmental documents to ANLA.
Provide advanced training on bioengineering, catchment management, and MHVRA.
Strengthen the monitoring framework to assess project impact.
Develop a centralized database for licensing and monitoring.
Hold 24 community consultations on project planning
Train 50 local contractors in climate-resilient methods.

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period, if possible.

Allocated budget	Currency
1,055,041.00	USD
Utilized budget	Currency
592,895.40	USD



Project Output Name

2. Climate risk reduction and climate-proofing measures for small scale rural infrastructure are implemented to build the resilience of vulnerable communities in six priority districts

The output name should match with the output reported above. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.

Project Activity Name

Activity 2.2 Implementation of climate-proofing measures for small-scale rural infrastructure

Status	Implementation Progress
Activity started - progress on track	54 %

Original timeline planned for this activity

Q4,2020 - Q1,2025

Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.

Progress for the relevant reporting period

1. Started progress with 57 small-scale infrastructure projects since January 2024. Of these 57 infrastructure projects, 24 projects were planned under the GCF in 2024, 24 projects for 2025, and 16 through co-financing. For the 16 projects out of 24 planned in 2024, the project received the ANLA certificate in June 2024. For the 8 remaining alternate projects in 2024, the ANLA certificates were issued in October 2024. In the meantime, the project has started public consultations for 24 projects to be implemented in 2025 as part of the ANLA certification procedures in order to be able to implement these 24 planned projects for 2025 as early as possible.

2. Out of 24 projects to be implemented in 2024, 16 ANLA certificates were received in May-June 2024. Following municipal procurement procedures, contracts with 16 contractors were signed in July – August 2024. For the eight remaining infrastructure projects in 2024, which were alternated, the ANLA certificate was issued in October 2024, and after municipal procurement processes, the contracts for those 8 alternated projects were signed in December 2024.

1. The project has identified 29 alternative projects to replace those already implemented by the Government between 2022 and 2024.

2. The project has prepared scheme design and BOQs for 48 infrastructure projects back-to-back with 57 public consultation meetings across 87 sub-villages, engaging communities in the environmental certification process and ensuring compliance with environmental safeguards for projects in 2024-2025.

3. The project ensured quality implementation by training 120 stakeholders on technical, financial, and social-environmental safeguards and conducting biweekly joint monitoring for each scheme with the government and communities.

4. Held 9 meetings with representatives of the Ministry of State Administration to align GCF and government co-finance projects and facilitate knowledge sharing between GCF and national engineers.

5. Conducted 16 mobilization meetings at the municipal level, engaging over 32 local contractors.

6. Mainstreamed the insurance system through a series of national and munici-pal-level meetings to address labor insurance, penalty clauses for delays, and security deposits, ensuring contractors deliver quality work on time.

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Remarks

- Finalize and obtain environmental licenses for 24 infrastructure projects for 2025.

- Finish 8 ongoing and 24 new infrastructure projects to be implemented in 2025, ensuring timely and quality implementation.

- Revise and update local beneficiary statistics to reflect project impact and coverage.

- Conduct 12 mobilization meetings with local contractors to align project timelines and standards.

- Design and implement a national monitoring and evaluation system to oversee all infrastructure projects and support the mission of the MSA.

- Set up an extension center at MSA to enhance training, outreach, and project coordination capacity.

- Create sector-specific guidelines for climate-resilient infrastructure projects to standardize practices across municipalities.

- Train 150 municipal engineers in advanced monitoring techniques and infrastructure management to improve project oversight.

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period, if possible.

Allocated budget	Currency
13,097,456.00	USD
Utilized budget	Currency
6,511,515.62	USD



Project Output Name

2. Climate risk reduction and climate-proofing measures for small scale rural infrastructure are implemented to build the resilience of vulnerable communities in six priority districts

The output name should match with the output reported above. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.

Project Activity Name

Activity 2.3 Supporting catchment management and rehabilitation measures to enhance climate resilient infrastructure and communities.

Status

Activity started - progress on track

Implementation Progress

70 %

Original timeline planned for this activity

Q4,2020 - Q1,2025

Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.

Progress for the relevant reporting period

1. Under Activity 2.3, a total of 1,056 hectares of degraded land were rehabilitated across Aileu, Ermera, Liquica, Baucau, Lautem, and Viqueque through integrated catchment management, combining agroforestry and reforestation. This included 206 hectares under agroforestry (GCF-funded), benefiting 1,511 people (52% women) across 24 villages and supporting 21 climate-resilient rural infrastructures. A total of 1,164,555 seedlings and cuttings were planted across all sites, including native trees, fruit species, and soil conservation plants such as vetiver and bamboo. Within agroforestry areas, 779,112 seedlings of locally suited species were established, with average densities adjusted to site conditions. Overall survival rates reached 97–99% after 12 months. These interventions reduced soil erosion by 45%, enhanced food security, and protected 19,896 people (61% women) from climate-related hazards. The restored areas are projected to sequester 12,000 tCO₂/year by 2026 (based on the FAO EX-ACT model) and improve crop yields for over 5,000 households through indirect watershed benefits. Direct beneficiaries totaled 2,017, including the 1,511 from agroforestry, as well as 443 farmers and 49 persons with disabilities. An additional 111 hectares of reforestation are planned for early 2025 in four municipalities, targeting approximately 8,500 residents (65% women and youth), with 55,000 trees to be established by 2026.
2. 79112 seedlings of locally suited species, , were planted across agroforestry intervention sites cumulatively.
3. The project facilitated 59 training sessions, followed by 201 on-the-job training sessions provided by two contracted companies, NGO Raebia, and Posppek, before, during, and after the tree planting activities.
4. Legume crops were predominantly planted as intercropping species to enhance soil fertility.
5. 101 beneficiaries (of whom 54% were women) received specialized training on value chain management to enhance their agricultural productivity and market linkages.
6. Regular monitoring and evaluation (M&E) missions were conducted to assess progress in planted plots and ensure sustainability.
7. The project contracted companies, in coordination with communities, established 12 compost-making pits, which produced over 23 metric tons of compost for agroforestry activities.
8. Integrated pest and disease management (IPDM) practices were applied to control pests and diseases organically, reducing reliance on synthetic fertilizers and chemicals.
9. A joint monitoring exercise conducted in November 2024 evaluated seedlings planted during the January–March planting season. Survival rates were reported to be 95–97% across different sites.
10. The project documented 20 key lessons learned and best practices, including real-life stories of transformation. These were published through mass media portals and presented at UNFCCC COP 29 in Baku, Azerbaijan. (COP for Hope: Timor-Leste advocates for urgent needs of small island nations (Exclusive))
11. The M&E workshop featured training on freeware mobile GIS applications programmed to run on handheld devices and demonstrations of installing erosion pins to measure soil erosion and sedimentation rates.
12. Collaboration with MALFF was strengthened through regular coordination meetings with national and municipal MALFF Forestry Directors. Forest Guards were actively involved during plantation activities.
13. The project signed 4 Responsible Party Agreements with NGOs to plant 111 ha of forestry trees in the following order: Aileu (30 ha) – Raebia, Ermera (30 ha) – FCOTI, Liquica (21 ha) – Permatil and Viqueque (30 ha) – Prospek JV Fraterna.
14. Following the agreement signed in October 2024, 4 inception workshops were conducted in 4 municipalities, engaging 168 community members (48% women). These workshops included participation from the Ministry of Agriculture and local municipalities.

Delays in Agroforestry Implementation:

- Coordination challenges across 24 sucos and 21 disaster-prone sites slowed the progress of planting activities. Limited access and logistical constraints delayed the timely application of 23 metric tons of compost to agroforestry sites. Late monitoring in November for seedlings planted in early 2024 delayed addressing potential issues like pests and irrigation needs.

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Remarks

- Finalize planation of 111 ha
- Conduct training on afforestation and forest management
- Organize one exchange study tour.
- Cost extension with Raebia and Prospek to maintain 206 ha of agroforestry sites.
- Strengthen coordination with the MALFF and their capacity-building
- Follow up with MALFF to fulfill their co-financing commitment and plant seedlings in the areas identified by hazard maps as recommended by IE.
- Establishment of an extension center at the bases of the MALFF.

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period, if possible.

Allocated budget		Currency
2,945,910.00		USD
Utilized budget		Currency
2,343,379.98		USD

2.4 Update to GCF Investment Criteria

Provide an update to the GCF investment criteria framework with an assessment of status and observed changes against the investment criteria in Funding Proposal or Restructuring Proposal, if applicable. REDD+ RBP projects are not required to fill out this section.

Please check the box as applicable.

- ☒ The performance of the project/programme against the GCF investment framework criteria remains aligned with the Funding Proposal.
- ☐ The performance of the project/programme against the GCF investment framework criteria deviates from the assumptions made in the Funding Proposal.

Relevant Links

[The GCF investment criteria framework \(https://www.greenclimate.fund/document/initial-investment-framework-activity-specific-sub-criteria-and-indicative-assessment\)](https://www.greenclimate.fund/document/initial-investment-framework-activity-specific-sub-criteria-and-indicative-assessment)

2.5 Multi-country Project/Programme or Sub-Project Status

For a project/programme with sub-projects, please provide relevant information below. (For a multi-country project, please provide disaggregated disbursement information per country – please ensure all projects started since the start of the project or programme are listed here).

Project/Programme Information

Total Approved GCF Funding	Total Approved Co-Financing	Currency
22356805	37087062	usd
Total GCF Disbursements (USD)	Total GCF Disbursements (EUR)	
15540699	0	

Sub-project Title	
Country	
Status	Date Sub-project commenced
Please select	
Financial instrument based on FAA	
Please select	
Amount disbursed (reporting period)	Amount disbursed (cumulative)
Expenditure (reporting period)	Expenditure (cumulative)
Including commitments	Including commitments
Commitment	
ESS Category	ESS Disclosure Date
Please select	
Brief description of sub-project (scope, location, timeline, etc)	



The accredited entity confirms whether the above is aligned with the initially approved funding proposal.

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

2.6 Compliance with FAA covenants (not related to environmental and social safeguards)

FAA Clause
Clause 10.01(a): No Interference with Obligations
Status of compliance
Compliant
Remarks/Notes
No circumstances have been identified that interfere with the Accredited Entity's obligations or the implementation of the Funded Activity.

FAA Clause
Clause 10.01(b): Subsidiary Agreement in Effect
Status of compliance
Compliant
Remarks/Notes
The Subsidiary Agreement remains active and effective since the first disbursement and throughout the term of this Agreement.

FAA Clause
Clause 10.01(c): No Event of Default
Status of compliance
Compliant
Remarks/Notes
No Events of Default have occurred or are continuing under this Agreement or the Subsidiary Agreement as of each disbursement date.

FAA Clause
Clause 10.01(d): AML/CFT Policy Consistency
Status of compliance
Compliant
Remarks/Notes
The Accredited Entity's AML/CFT policies are substantially consistent with the principles of the AML/CFT Policy on the execution date, Effective Date, and each disbursement date.

FAA Clause
Clause 10.02(a): No Transfer if Default
Status of compliance
Compliant



Remarks/Notes

No GCF Proceeds have been transferred to the Executing Entity during any default under the Subsidiary Agreement.

FAA Clause

Clause 10.02(b): Co-financing Allocation Confirmation

Status of compliance

Compliant

Remarks/Notes

Annual Performance Reports (APRs) confirm that the Executing Entity has allocated the necessary co-financing resources in its annual budget satisfactorily.

FAA Clause

Clause 10.02(c): Timely Co-financing Contribution

Status of compliance

Compliant

Remarks/Notes

Co-financing for the Funded Activity has been obtained and contributed by the Accredited Entity in a timely manner.

FAA Clause

Clause 10.02(d): Proportional Disbursement of Funds

Status of compliance

Compliant

Remarks/Notes

Measures are in place to ensure that co-financing and GCF Proceeds are disbursed and applied proportionally and simultaneously for the Funded Activity.

FAA Clause

Clause 10.02(e): Environmental and Social Risk Management

Status of compliance

Compliant

Remarks/Notes

Adequate measures have been undertaken to manage environmental and social risks in compliance with the Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF).

FAA Clause

Clause 10.02(f): Restriction on High-Risk Activities

Status of compliance

Compliant

Remarks/Notes

GCF Proceeds have not been used to finance activities with environmental and social risks equivalent to category A.



FAA Clause
Clause 10.02(g): Acquisition of Land Rights
Status of compliance
Compliant
Remarks/Notes
All necessary land and rights have been acquired for the Funded Activity, with evidence available upon request.

FAA Clause
Clause 10.02(h): Submission of ESMP
Status of compliance
Compliant
Remarks/Notes
Detailed Environmental and Social Management Plans (ESMPs) have been submitted prior to commencing relevant construction works, satisfactory to the GCF Secretariat.

FAA Clause
Clause 10.02(i): Notification of Subsidiary Agreement Amendments
Status of compliance
Compliant
Remarks/Notes
Any amendments to the Subsidiary Agreement have been reported to the Fund within ten (10) calendar days, ensuring no contravention of the Agreement or AMA terms.

FAA Clause
Clause 10.02(j): Application of AML/CFT Standards
Status of compliance
Compliant
Remarks/Notes
The Accredited Entity has applied its fiduciary principles and standards related to AML/CFT in the implementation of the Funded Activity.

FAA Clause
Clause 10.02(k): Change of Authorized Representative
Status of compliance
Not applicable
Remarks/Notes
No changes to the authorized representative for signing Requests for Disbursement have occurred.

FAA Clause
Clause 10.02(l): Indigenous Peoples Plan and Consent
Status of compliance
Not applicable



Remarks/Notes

No activities involving indigenous peoples have been initiated under this Funded Activity.

FAA Clause

Clause 10.02(m): Infrastructure Standards Compliance

Status of compliance

Compliant

Remarks/Notes

Infrastructure works are designed, constructed, operated, and decommissioned following good international industry practices, ensuring safety and quality.

FAA Clause

Clause 10.02(n): Drinking Water Supply Interventions

Status of compliance

Compliant

Remarks/Notes

GCF Proceeds have not financed any drinking water supply interventions that do not meet the requirements of Clause 9.01(a)(vi).

FAA Clause

Clause 10.02(o): Reporting on Drones for Training

Status of compliance

Compliant

Remarks/Notes

The Inception Report and Baseline Assessments include information on the number of drones delivered for user training under Activity 1.2.1.

FAA Clause

Clause 10.02(p): Selection of Practitioners for Sub-activity 1.1.5

Status of compliance

Compliant

Remarks/Notes

Practitioners have been selected according to the eligibility criteria outlined in Schedule 1.

FAA Clause

Clause 10.02(q): Reporting Changes in Schedule 1-A Activities

Status of compliance

Compliant

Remarks/Notes

Any changes to the Activities in Schedule 1-A have been reported in the APRs.

FAA Clause
Clause 10.03: Disposition of Durable Assets
Status of compliance
Pending
Remarks/Notes
Plans regarding the disposition of durable assets and equipment purchased with GCF Proceeds will be communicated in the final APR.

2.7 Updated implementation timetable for the Funded Activity

Please submit the implementation time table for the Funded Activity

Implementation timetable_final 26 Feb 2025.xlsx (/GCF/programme_form/download_attachment/5766/50812/project_report?attachment_attr=field_W7pCiXer:cJz5TQyM)

2.8 [Private Sector Co-investment Platform only] List of the ultimate beneficiaries (asset owners) or the investors (asset managers)

N/A

Please provide where GCF’s investments are possibly benefitting leading green institutional investors (such as California State Retirement Savings, Norges Bank, Kuwait Investment Authority, Temasek).

2.9 Implementation Challenges

This section provides an overview of the challenges and measures taken by the AE in implementing the funded activity during the reporting period.

Challenge encountered/resolved

Limited community engagement due to cultural norms.

Describe the challenge faced during the last twelve (12) months of implementation and critical risks that may result in a change to the scope and/or timing of the project; please provide a description and how they have impacted the implementation period and could impact other activities and final targets. N.B. Choose the most relevant type if the challenge is related to multiple types.

Challenge type

Others

Impact level on the project implementation

Moderate

Adaptive measures adopted

Introduced labor from another municipality

Please check if the above-mentioned challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period

☒ The challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period.

Please check if this challenge is caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.

☐ Yes

☒ No

Challenge encountered/resolved

Flooding damaged key infrastructure

Describe the challenge faced during the last twelve (12) months of implementation and critical risks that may result in a change to the scope and/or timing of the project; please provide a description and how they have impacted the implementation period and could impact other activities and final targets. N.B. Choose the most relevant type if the challenge is related to multiple types.

Challenge type

Environmental and Social Safeguards (ESS)

Impact level on the project implementation

Moderate

Adaptive measures adopted

Relocated equipment and reinforced site with sandbags

Please check if the above-mentioned challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period

☒ The challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period.

Please check if this challenge is caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.

☐ Yes

☒ No

Challenge encountered/resolved

Local regulatory change procurement rules of the municipalities

Describe the challenge faced during the last twelve (12) months of implementation and critical risks that may result in a change to the scope and/or timing of the project; please provide a description and how they have impacted the implementation period and could impact other activities and final targets. N.B. Choose the most relevant type if the challenge is related to multiple types.

Challenge type

Procurement

Impact level on the project implementation

Moderate

Adaptive measures adopted

Engaged legal team to review terms and timelines

Please check if the above-mentioned challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period
☐ The challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period.

Please check if this challenge is caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.

☐ Yes

☒ No

Challenge encountered/resolved

Lack of qualified contractors and capacity issues

Describe the challenge faced during the last twelve (12) months of implementation and critical risks that may result in a change to the scope and/or timing of the project; please provide a description and how they have impacted the implementation period and could impact other activities and final targets. N.B. Choose the most relevant type if the challenge is related to multiple types.

Challenge type

Operational

Impact level on the project implementation

Moderate

Adaptive measures adopted

Engagement with the government for improving the selection process of contractors, capacity building of both government and selected contractors

Please check if the above-mentioned challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period
☐ The challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period.

Please check if this challenge is caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.

☐ Yes

☒ No

Challenge encountered/resolved

High staff turnover due to competitive job market

Describe the challenge faced during the last twelve (12) months of implementation and critical risks that may result in a change to the scope and/or timing of the project; please provide a description and how they have impacted the implementation period and could impact other activities and final targets. N.B. Choose the most relevant type if the challenge is related to multiple types.

Challenge type

Operational

Impact level on the project implementation

Moderate

Adaptive measures adopted

Offered retention bonuses and flexible work options

Please check if the above-mentioned challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period
☐ The challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period.

Please check if this challenge is caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.

☐ Yes

☒ No

Challenge encountered/resolved

Budget overruns

Describe the challenge faced during the last twelve (12) months of implementation and critical risks that may result in a change to the scope and/or timing of the project; please provide a description and how they have impacted the implementation period and could impact other activities and final targets. N.B. Choose the most relevant type if the challenge is related to multiple types.

Challenge type

Financial

Impact level on the project implementation

Moderate

Adaptive measures adopted

Revised budget and reallocated resources

Please check if the above-mentioned challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period

☐ The challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period.

Please check if this challenge is caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Please choose the severity of overall impact.

On track with no or minor impact

Description of levels of severity:

1. On-track with no or minor impact: No or minor impact on project implementation and corresponding annual activities.
2. Facing delays: Implementation progress faced delays in the timeline but did not require any substantial changes in the implementation plan.
3. A minor change(s) required: Changes that are not classified as Major changes but requires intervention from GCF.
4. A major change(s) required: As per paragraph 16 of the Policy on Restructuring and Cancellation - Board Decision B.22/14 paragraph (a). Please find the link to the policy document below.

[GCF Policy on Restructuring and Cancellation \(https://www.greenclimate.fund/sites/default/files/document/policy-restructuring-cancellation.pdf\)](https://www.greenclimate.fund/sites/default/files/document/policy-restructuring-cancellation.pdf)

Select the type of the COVID-19 challenges encountered.

Financing and Concessionality

Sample challenges for Financing and Concessionality:

- Possible amendments to co-financing availability, financing for project management costs, and disbursement schedules
- Contractors facing challenges in acquiring loans from financial institutions and commercial banks
- Increased risk aversion towards the agricultural and agroforestry sectors
- Increasing uncertainty causing postponement or cancellation of investment decisions
- Likely extension for the closing date and completion date

Please describe if any support is required from the GCF to address the COVID-19 impact on your project/programme.

N/A

Challenge encountered/resolved

Delay in the supply chain

Describe the challenge faced during the last twelve (12) months of implementation and critical risks that may result in a change to the scope and/or timing of the project; please provide a description and how they have impacted the implementation period and could impact other activities and final targets. N.B. Choose the most relevant type if the challenge is related to multiple types.

Challenge type

Operational

Impact level on the project implementation

Moderate

Adaptive measures adopted

Shifted to International suppliers

Please check if the above-mentioned challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period

☒ The challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period.

Please check if this challenge is caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.

☐ Yes
☒ No

Potential changes

Over-centralization under the Vice Prime Minister prolongs approvals in 2023 and early 2024. Annual Chair appointments and renewals disrupt continuity. New Chairs lack immediate access to project history, slowing progress.

Following governmental restructuring, the Executing Entity for the project shifted from the Secretary of State for Environment to the Office of the Vice-Prime Minister (VPM) and Minister for Tourism and Environment. Under this new structure, all project decisions—including strategic approvals, Annual Work Plans (AWPs), board meeting minutes, and stakeholder participation—require clearance from the VPM. This centralized approval process has caused delays, as the VPM’s office must review every decision before it can be formally endorsed, even by the appointed Chair of the Project Board.

In December 2023, the Vice PM delegated the project board chairmanship to his Principal Advisor. However, the Chair’s role remains constrained: while they sign off on decisions, all actions still require prior VPM clearance. The 6th Project Board Meeting – originally planned for November 2023 – took place in June 2024. The project 2024 work plan and budget were approved and signed.

In December 2024, the 7th project board meeting was held. During this meeting, the project progress was presented, and the 2025 work plan was approved. The delays in project implementation in the previous four years (including due to COVID-19 restrictions from 2020-2021 and government formation /restructuring in 2023-2024) were also acknowledged, and the project board decided to seek GCF approval for project extension from March 2026 to March 2027. However, the board meeting minutes, the project 2025 work plan, and the project extension request letter are pending signature by the IP/Chair of the Board.

Additionally, the Chair’s appointment is renewed annually, and the renewal process itself is time-consuming. Transitioning to a new Chair further slows progress, as incoming appointees need time to familiarize themselves with the project’s complexities. This leads to implementation delays and requires extra effort to realign activities with the original project timeline and objectives. To mitigate these challenges, the project has established a centralized portfolio within the VPM’s office. This repository documents the project’s historical and ongoing initiatives, ensuring that newly appointed Chairs can quickly access critical context and make informed decisions. This measure aims to reduce onboarding delays and enable timely, effective support for project implementation.

Update on Project Beneficiary Estimates Based on 2022 Census.

The project beneficiaries were initially projected using the 2015 census , which focused on the village (Suco) level but did not include rural residents at the sub-village (Aldeia) level, where the majority of minority and indigenous communities reside. In 2022, the Government of Timor-Leste conducted a new population census that included rural residents at the sub-village level, revealing a higher number of potential beneficiaries for the project.

Describe changes to the project during the reporting period. In particular, the report should cover elements such as change of beneficial ownership structure, management changes of the Accredited Entity, policies and other elements relevant for the project, and any other material change that could influence the overall outcome of the project.

Lessons learnt from addressing challenges

1. Comprehensive Data is Key to Effective Planning—Updating beneficiary numbers based on the 2022 census revealed the need for accurate and inclusive population data, especially in rural and underserved communities, to properly align project targets with ground realities. This underscores the importance of dynamic project frameworks that can adapt to new data and changing circumstances. For instance, revising beneficiary figures for rural roads based on the 2022 census highlights how flexible planning ensures projects remain relevant and effective in addressing actual needs.
2. Stakeholder Coordination is Key to Efficiency - Delays in environmental certifications and procurement processes highlight the critical need for strong coordination among stakeholders, including ANLA, municipalities, communities, and GCF. Streamlined communication and decision-making are essential to reducing bottlenecks and ensuring timely project implementation. Proactive engagement with stakeholders has proven effective in addressing challenges such

as approvals, payment delays, and updating beneficiary targets, demonstrating the importance of maintaining open and frequent dialogue to enhance efficiency and project outcomes.

3. Clear Payment Procedures Prevent Disruptions - Payment delays resulting from prolonged national government verification procedures underscore the importance of well-defined and consistent payment timelines. These delays are often caused by limited personnel availability, knowledge gaps, and challenges with transportation systems in remote villages. Establishing transparent and efficient processes is essential to building contractor trust and ensuring project continuity. Furthermore, delegating procurement responsibilities for smaller projects to municipalities has proven effective in reducing delays. Expanding this approach, with adequate oversight, can further streamline administrative procedures, enhance project timelines, and improve overall efficiency.

4. Addressing Governance and Decision-Making Challenges - The shift to centralized decision-making under the Vice-Prime Minister (VPM) caused delays, as all project approvals required VPM clearance, and annual renewals of the Project Board Chair disrupted continuity. To address these challenges, the project established a centralized portfolio system within the VPM's office, documenting historical and ongoing initiatives to improve information flow and reduce onboarding delays for new Chairs. Key lessons include decentralizing approval processes, ensuring leadership continuity, centralizing knowledge management, streamlining governance structures, and proactively planning for transitions to minimize disruptions and enhance efficiency.

5. Addressing Contractor Capacity Gaps Through Improved Selection and Capacity Building - The lack of qualified contractors and capacity issues highlighted the need for improving the contractor selection process and enhancing the skills of both contractors and government stakeholders. Engaging with the government to refine contractor selection criteria and procedures has been essential in addressing this challenge. Additionally, capacity-building initiatives for government staff and selected contractors have strengthened technical expertise, improved project implementation efficiency, and ensured higher-quality infrastructure outcomes. These efforts underline the importance of collaboration and skill development in overcoming capacity-related barriers.

Please provide a summary of lessons from addressing challenges during the reporting period.

Confirmation and Acknowledgement of Information *

* This is a required question to submit section 2 of the Annual Performance Report (APR).

☒ The accredited entity hereby confirms that the information provided in section 2 is complete and ready for submission.

APR CY2024 Section 3: Financial Information - v2 2025-08-15 15:40 +09:00

[APR CY2024] Section 3: Financial Information

Please note that this is section 3 of the five Annual Performance Report (APR) sections. APR will be considered valid only after all the five sections are filled with relevant details.

Annual Financial Performance Report

Please download the Financial Performance Report Template in Excel.

[Financial Performance Report Template \(https://www.greenclimate.fund/document/annual-performance-report-financial-information-section-3\)](https://www.greenclimate.fund/document/annual-performance-report-financial-information-section-3)

Please note that the Financial Performance Report template has been updated with an additional master sheet where you will see a summary of data automatically extracted from multiple worksheets. AEs do not need to make any additional actions in this regard.

Please attach the Annual Financial Performance Report here.

[PIMS 5910_APR_2024_SECTION-3_cleared by QM.xlsx \(/GCF/programme_form/download_attachment/5766/50812/project_report?attachment_attr=clonenwp9gVbt_field_fA7v4oZD:qUQKXZBF\)](#)

Please make sure you use the attached template provided by the GCF. Reports submitted in any other formats would not be accepted.

Please provide any comments as necessary.

Unaudited Financial Statement

Submit the Unaudited financial statement (as required by FAA)

(Semi-)Annual Audited financial statement, Interim/Final Evaluation Report should be submitted via separate and dedicated submission channels.

Please provide any comments as necessary.

3.3 Disbursement projection

Select reporting currencies *

- ☒ USD
- ☐ EUR
- ☐ GBP
- ☐ JPY

This section is for GCF internal planning purposes only. Please provide indicative disbursement projections for 2025 and 2026. The information reported herein will not be published.

Please be mindful of the Undisbursed Balance provided above and avoid overbudgeting the projected values.

Projected disbursements to be requested in all of 2025: January 1st – December 31st, 2025. Please include disbursement requests already submitted in 2025 prior to APR submission.

Projected disbursements to be requested in all of 2026 (USD): January 1st – December 31st, 2026.

Please insert 0 (zero) and elaborate the reasons in the notes box below, if no projection can be provided.

Please insert 0 (zero) if financial instruments are not applicable to this project/programme.

	Approved budget by instrument	Cumulative Amount Disbursed from GCF to AE (until the end of 2023) by instrument	Undisbursed Balance (GCF Approved Funding)-(GCF Disbursed Funds)	Projected disbursements to be requested in all of 2025 (USD)	Projected disbursements to be requested in all of 2026 (USD)	Remaining budget
Equity		0	0			0.0
Grants	22356805	15540699	6816106	6816106		0.0
Guarantees		0	0			0.0
In-kind			0			0.0
Results-Based Payment		0	0			0.0
Senior Loans		0	0			0.0
Sub-ordinated Loans		0	0			0.0
Total	22356805	15540699	6816106	6816106	0	0.0

Notes

The 5th Disbursement Request for the amount of USD 5,300,883.00 has been submitted on 30 Dec 2024.

Please add any further explanations on the provided disbursement projections above if necessary.

Please confirm that disbursement projections are provided as per the guiding notes above. *

☒ Confirmed

Confirmation and Acknowledgement of Information *

* This is a required question to submit section 3 of the Annual Performance Report (APR).

☒ The accredited entity hereby confirms that the information provided in section 3 is complete and ready for submission.

APR CY2024 Section 4: Environmental and Social Safeguards & Gender - v2 2025-08-15 15:40 +09:00

[APR CY2024] Section 4: Environmental and Social Safeguards & Gender Action Plan

Please note that this is section 4 of the five Annual Performance Report (APR) sections. APR will be considered valid only after all the five sections are filled with relevant details.

4.1 Progress on Key Challenges and Updates regarding Environmental and Social Safeguards

This section provides an update against the ESS standards agreed as part of the Environmental and Social Action Plan (ESAP) and Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF), as well as Environmental and Social Management System (ESMS), Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA), Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP), Indigenous Peoples Plan (IPP), Indigenous Peoples Plan Framework (IPPF), Resettlement Action Plan (RAP), Resettlement Policy Framework (RPF) when applicable.

1) Provide any changes in the key environmental and social risks and impacts as identified and arising from the implementation including any unanticipated risks and impacts (ex. from changes in laws and regulations) and, based on these if any change in the project's environmental and social risk category. In case of a change in the E&S risk category for the project, please provide an explanation.

The project has actively collaborated with the ANLA licensing authority through public consultations on scheme designs and Bills of Quantities (BOQs) to facilitate project documentation. In 2024, the project prepared 48 scheme designs and BOQs and conducted 24 public consultations, ensuring considerations for Indigenous rights, gender equity, and social and environmental parameters. During these consultations, eight projects encountered social issues, leading to their replacement with new infrastructure projects and necessitating fresh consultations.

Following these public consultations, the project conducted 48 Social and Environmental Screening Procedure (SESP) missions in collaboration with ANLA representatives across all 38 Sucos/Aldeas. Consequently, ANLA has issued licenses for 24 projects that commenced in 2024. For the remaining 24 projects, project documents are being finalized for submission to ANLA in February 2025 for certification.

During public consultations and project implementation, the following key issues were successfully addressed:

- The government and development partners have already implemented 19 infrastructure projects (9 rural roads, 10 water supply systems) planned under GCF funding for 2024.
- The government executed two additional projects (V-RR-02 and V-IS-02) in 2023-2024.
- Eight projects (3 water supply systems, 1 flood protection scheme, and 4 rural roads) faced implementation challenges due to land ownership conflicts, dried-up water sources, high environmental impacts, and reclassification as municipal roads.

To mitigate these challenges, the project identified 29 alternative projects, conducted public consultations, and secured approvals from project board members and municipalities, ensuring that the Government or international agencies did not cover these projects. These alternate projects adhered to the UNDP's Social and Environmental Standards (SES), including Multi-Hazard Risk and Vulnerability Assessments (MHVRA), ensuring they posed no environmental or social risks. Consequently, the overall project risk classification remains in Category B.

Grievance Redressal Mechanism (GRM): The GRM has been strengthened at three levels: individual scheme, PMU/project level, and external resolution. To facilitate community feedback, 24 GRM posters were distributed, and suggestion boxes were installed at project sites. PMU maintains a comprehensive record of all grievances and their resolutions. In 2024, the project maintained strong safeguards monitoring with a functional three-tier GRM. A total of 56 GRM boxes

were installed across 23 Sucos (sub-villages). Three boxes were damaged during the year and promptly replaced to ensure continuity. A total of three complaints were formally received in 2024: two in Viqueque municipality (one on a payment dispute between the labourers and contractor, and one on water leakage in a rehabilitated canal) and one in Liquica (also on water leakage). All were resolved in coordination with local authorities. Additionally, two site-level concerns were addressed: dust from roadworks (B-RR-03), mitigated by regular water spraying, and a request for additional water taps (B-WS-03), which was approved and implemented. An environmental issue at La-RR-03 (Suco Iliomar) improper disposal of cement waste was immediately corrected, with the contractor instructed to comply with waste management protocols. These actions reflect adequate safeguards implementation, responsiveness, and strong community engagement throughout 2024.

Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E): Continuous corrective measures ensured compliance with SESP and Indigenous Peoples Plan (IPP). Relevant government departments participate in joint monitoring and training on participatory monitoring, evaluation, and reporting. In 2024, representatives from the NDA and the Ministry of Tourism and Environment, along with project board members, conducted site visits once per quarter. Six intermediate outcomes and success stories were documented for visibility and lessons learned. Policy lessons were successfully represented at COP29 in Baku, Azerbaijan.

Occupational Safety & Compliance: Implemented recommendations on PPE use, plastic waste disposal, and contractor insurance and safety requirements in municipal agreements.

Catchment Management & Rehabilitation: Implemented as part of SES compliance measures.

Audit Compliance: The project underwent one external and one internal UNDP audit, addressing recommendations related to PPE compliance, contractor agreements, reporting mechanisms, government co-financing activities, and payment verification for timely project implementation.

The Gender Action Plan was launched in 2024, covering all six municipalities and 42 project sites through activities like gender-sensitive design, baseline evaluations, public engagement, and continuous monitoring under the SESP. For 24 new infrastructure projects planned for 2025, efforts ensured 30% female involvement. Agroforestry and reforestation initiatives reached women, participating 52% of the time, supported by six women-led nurseries and seven community groups. Gender and inclusion training reached 275 engineers, staff, and contractors. Women are also prioritized in 24 infrastructure contracts to foster economic inclusion. Systematic tracking of infrastructure and livelihood benefits employs gender and social inclusion indicators, allowing detailed reporting on outcomes for women, youth, people with disabilities, and female-headed households, including targeted training for 98 female-headed households and 123 youth. These measures have enhanced equitable participation and fostered inclusive project results

In 2024, the project made significant efforts to align infrastructure development with environmental and social safeguards, which had not been done since the start of the project, ensuring that project interventions did not overlap with Government-led initiatives. Through a structured approach to grievance redressal, participatory monitoring, and compliance with SES and SESP, the project maintained its risk classification within Category B. Strategic adjustments, including the identification of alternate projects and enhancement of compliance mechanisms, have strengthened implementation efficiency. The project's efforts in documentation, reporting, and policy representation at COP29 further demonstrate its commitment to sustainable infrastructure development in line with international standards.

2) Provide any change/update on environmental and social safeguarding, compared to what was specified in the baseline assessment reflected in the ESS standards of the Board-Approved Funding Proposal. For programmes/FIs with subprojects, please fill out the table in 5).

No changes.

3) Provide a status of compliance with applicable laws and regulations of the country as well as the relevant conditions or covenants under the FAA. This can be captured in the table below.

Implementation of laws and regulations under the FAA

Applicable laws and regulations/conditions and covenants	
Covenant	

Name of Compliance Title & Description

FAA Clause 10.02

In addition to clause 18.02 of the AMA, the Accredited Entity covenants that as from the Effective Date of this Agreement it shall:

[. . .]

(e) Undertake and/or put in place any adequate measures in order to ensure that the management of the environmental and social risks and impacts arising from the Funded Activity complies at all times the recommendations, requirements and procedures set forth in the Environmental and Social Management Framework (“ESMF”), which was provided by the Accredited Entity to the Fund before the Approval Decision;

(f) Ensure that the GCF Proceeds will not support or finance, directly or indirectly, any activities with potential environmental and social risks that are equivalent to category A pursuant to the Environmental and Social Risks Categories to be conducted as part of the Project;

(g) Obtain, or ensure that the Executing Entity shall acquire, all land and rights in respect of land that are required to carry out the Funded Activity and shall promptly furnish to the GCF, upon its request, evidence that such land and rights in respect of the land are available for the purposes of the Funded Activity;

(h) Prior to commencing any construction works or activities for the implementation of the Project, the Accredited Entity shall submit the detailed Environmental and Social Management Plan related to the relevant construction works or activities to be executed, in a form and substance satisfactory to the GCF Secretariat;

[. . .]

(l) Prior to commencing any activities that have potential application of the Accredited Entity’s environmental and social standards on indigenous peoples, furnish to the GCF the indigenous peoples plan and evidence that free, prior and informed consent from the indigenous peoples and communities has been obtained for the purposes of the relevant activities;

(m) Ensure that the infrastructure works to be implemented as part of the Funded Activity (i) are designed, constructed, operated and decommissioned in accordance with good international industry practices and any other applicable standards, taking into consideration safety risks to third parties or affected communities; and (ii) that the quality of such infrastructure works is in accordance with international best practices;

(n) Ensure that the GCF Proceeds will not support or finance, directly or indirectly, any Activities in relation to drinking water supply interventions in targeted municipalities that do not satisfy the requirements of Clause 9.01(a)(vi);

[. . .]

Status of compliance

- (e) The ESMF was followed during the reporting period.
- (f) All the 24 infrastructure schemes funded by GCF, whose implementation started in 2024, were in Category B, posing no potential environmental and social risk, and this was assured through a detailed assessment of all detailed schemes and obtaining of ANLA licenses (National Authority for Environmental Licensing) for all schemes. As per the ANLA assessment, all the schemes were categorized as C.
- (g) Compliant. For all 25 schemes no land acquisition was required as all of these schemes are existing and were rehabilitated. For 12 rural roads rehabilitated, the letter from each municipality has been obtained in 2024 confirms the government's ownership of the land required for rehabilitation. The five projects (2 irrigation and 3 water supply projects) that has faced land ownership issue, the project has substituted in close cooperation with the local municipalities.
- For all the planned 2025 schemes, the required evidence for 24 infrastructure schemes (10 rural roads, 2 irrigation systems, 10 water supply and 2 Flood protection) has already been obtained.
- (h) The project submitted sub-project level ESIA/ESMP and project document following the requirement of the NALA licensing authority for rural roads, water supply, and irrigation systems and responded to the comments and recommendations from the GCF Secretariat. In addition, project documents, including ESIA/ESMPs, were submitted to ANLA, and the required licenses, as per the government of Timor Leste, were obtained for all 24 schemes before the construction started. For 2025 projects, all project documents will be submitted in February 2025.
- (l) The Indigenous Peoples Plan" (IPP) was prepared for the sub-projects of rural roads, water supply, and irrigation systems, and a response to GCF comments was provided. The plan is developed following the effective and meaningful participation of indigenous peoples. As part of IPP, Free Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) was obtained from all relevant communities for the 24 schemes and for 24 schemes planned for 2025 implementation.
- (m) Since its inception, the project has incorporated various innovative practices and technologies in the implementation of 34 infrastructure projects, ensuring the integration of international best practices throughout each scheme's life cycle. A strong focus has been placed on climate-proofing and quality assurance to enhance project sustainability. Additionally, all applicable guidelines and measures outlined in the project-level ESIA/ESMPs have been strictly adhered to, particularly those addressing safety risks for third parties and affected communities.
- (n) For the four water supply schemes, UNDP ensured to observe the requirements of Clause 9.01(a)(vi)

Remarks/Notes

Commpliant. All activities comply with PDIM Decree Law No. 15/2016 on the Jurisdictional regime for PDIM Procurement and Decree Law No. 36/2015 on the PDIM Planning Process.

Applicable laws and regulations/conditions and covenants

Law / Regulation

Name of Compliance Title & Description

Decree-Law 5/2011 Environmental Licensing System

Status of compliance
Compliant. Licenses from the National Authority for Environmental Licensing (ANLA) were obtained for all 24 schemes, and documents were submitted for 10 rural roads planned for 2025. The project will continue obtaining licenses for each scheme per their guidelines and compliance.
Remarks/Notes

Applicable laws and regulations/conditions and covenants
Law / Regulation
Name of Compliance Title & Description
Decree-Law No. 26/2012 Establishing the Environmental Basic
Status of compliance
Compliant. National Authority for Environmental Licensing (ANLA) licenses were obtained for all 24 schemes implemented in 2024, and documents were submitted for 10 rural roads planned for 2025. The project will continue obtaining licenses for each scheme per their guidelines and compliance.
Remarks/Notes

Applicable laws and regulations/conditions and covenants
Law / Regulation
Name of Compliance Title & Description
Environmental licensing regulations, 2017
Status of compliance
Compliant. National Authority for Environmental Licensing (ANLA) licenses were obtained for all 24 schemes completed in 2024, and documents were submitted for 10 rural roads planned for 2025. The project will continue obtaining licenses for each scheme per their guidelines and compliance.
Remarks/Notes

Applicable laws and regulations/conditions and covenants
Law / Regulation
Name of Compliance Title & Description
Law No. 8/2017 Land Expropriation for Public Utility
Status of compliance
Compliant. For appropriation, reasons of public utility include the infrastructure types earmarked under the GCF project. The project will continue collaborating and consulting with all relevant stakeholders and line ministries. Regarding the sites where physical interventions are to be implemented in the communities, the project will ensure that land ownership is clear and that any potential land issues are addressed before implementing the activities.
Remarks/Notes

Applicable laws and regulations/conditions and covenants
Law / Regulation
Name of Compliance Title & Description
Regulation No. 9/2007 Approving the National Policy for the Forestry sector
Status of compliance
Compliant. Under Activity 2.3, the project will support catchment management and rehabilitation measures to enhance the climate resilience of infrastructure and communities aligned with the applicable policies and laws.
Remarks/Notes

Applicable laws and regulations/conditions and covenants
Law / Regulation
Name of Compliance Title & Description
Law No. 14/2017 Establishing the General Forestry Regime
Status of compliance
Compliant. In this regard, the project’s interventions particularly under Activity 2.3, reforestation and agroforestry interventions directly contributes to the objective provided in this law through preservation of the forest resources.
Remarks/Notes

Applicable laws and regulations/conditions and covenants
Law / Regulation
Name of Compliance Title & Description
Decree-Law No. 4/2004 Water supply for public consumption
Status of compliance
Compliant. The project will continue to ensure that the water supply schemes access to water supply services that are essential to public health. Further, due recognition that the process of managing and saving water, in which women play a core role, must be based upon the participation of all leading stakeholders, the users, the economic agents and the institutions that are in charge of water supply.
Remarks/Notes

Applicable laws and regulations/conditions and covenants
Law / Regulation
Name of Compliance Title & Description
Rural Roads Master Plan (Investment Strategy), 2013
Status of compliance
Compliant. Project will collaborate with The Ministry of Public Works as a Responsible Party to the project to ensure that its interventions are aligned and supports the implementation of the master plan. Where possible, the plan will be reviewed and updated.

Remarks/Notes

Applicable laws and regulations/conditions and covenants
Law / Regulation
Name of Compliance Title & Description
Decree-Law No. 8/2016 Approving the State Secretariat for the Socio-Economic Support and Promotion of Women
Status of compliance
Compliant. The Secretary of State for Equality and Inclusion (SSEI) has been established under the structure of the VIII Constitutional Government. The project will engage with SSEI in future to share the lessons learned and their capacity building and get their support where required. The project has recruited a national Gender and Inclusion Officer to mainstream gender and inclusion in project activities and also to support with associated trainings and capacity development with the support from International United Nations Volunteer (UNV) and Project Manager
Remarks/Notes

Applicable laws and regulations/conditions and covenants
Law / Regulation
Name of Compliance Title & Description
Law No. 6/2017 Basic Law of Land Use Planning
Status of compliance
Compliant. In terms of the sites where physical interventions are to be implemented in the communities, the project will ensure that land ownerships are clear and proposed use is consulted with relevant stakeholders such as Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Forestry (MALFF) and that any potential land issues are addressed prior to implementation of the activities. The project will ensure coordination of the various public interventions with a territorial impact and a fair balance between public and private interests; prevention, through the anticipation, prevention and reduction of causes that have effects on land use.
Remarks/Notes

Applicable laws and regulations/conditions and covenants
Law / Regulation
Name of Compliance Title & Description
Decree-Law No. 08/2013 National development Programme of Rural Villages “Sucos” (PNDS)
Status of compliance
Compliant. The Ministry of State Administration is one of the key Responsible Parties and has established the Directorate for National Development Programme of Sucos (PNDS) that collaborate closely with the PMU. The project will extensively collaborate with PNDS and provide technical and capacity development support.
Remarks/Notes

Applicable laws and regulations/conditions and covenants
Law / Regulation
Name of Compliance Title & Description
Decree-Law No. 5/2004 Community Authorities in East Timor
Status of compliance
Compliant. The project has been designed with full recognition and compliance to this DL. Suco Chiefs (village leaders) and the Suco Councils and its members have been engaged and consulted during the project development stage and this continues during the implementation.
Remarks/Notes

Applicable laws and regulations/conditions and covenants
Law / Regulation
Name of Compliance Title & Description
Ministerial Order No.16/2017 Establishing the formal Recognition of Traditional Suco and indigenous Villages
Status of compliance
Compliant. The project interventions benefit Traditional Sucos and indigenous Villages. Throughout the project's implementation, extensive consultations and involvement with local villages (sucos), sub-villages (aldeias), and community leaders, including various capacity development activities, were conducted and planned.
Remarks/Notes

Applicable laws and regulations/conditions and covenants
Law / Regulation
Name of Compliance Title & Description
Decree-Law 5/2016 Protected Areas
Status of compliance
Compliant. The project continues to adhere to and ensure compliance with this Decree-Law (DL), which includes the non-introduction of alien species of plants/trees in its reforestation and agroforestry interventions and ensuring that associated prohibitions are complied with, such as guidelines to be followed where physical interventions are to be made in protected areas.
Remarks/Notes

Applicable laws and regulations/conditions and covenants
Law / Regulation
Name of Compliance Title & Description
Decree-Law 6/2020 Legal Regime for Protection and Conservation of Biodiversity

Status of compliance
Compliant. The project interventions contribute directly to the Protection and Conservation of Biodiversity in Timor-Leste. In terms of specific targets established, the project will directly contribute to rehabilitation activities in critical watersheds and degraded lands in collaboration with local communities through ecosystem restoration activities
Remarks/Notes

Applicable laws and regulations/conditions and covenants
Law / Regulation
Name of Compliance Title & Description
Decree-Law 23/2021 Law on Local Power and Administrative Decentralization
Status of compliance
Compliant. All project interventions are planned and implemented in close consultation with the local government. Throughout the Municipal Investment Development Plan (PDIM), all procurement processes use municipal procurement manuals and guidelines.
Remarks/Notes

4) Provide a brief report on the progress made in complying with the requirements of the relevant ESS standards that were triggered during the approval of the funding proposal and during the reporting period, if any; and the GCF Indigenous Peoples Policy, if relevant, describing achievements, and specifying details outlined in the tables below. If relevant, updates related to Indigenous Peoples should include any Free, Prior, and Informed Consent (FPIC) sought during the reporting period.

Implementation of ESS standards

Activities implemented during the reporting period, including monitoring
Conducted 38 SESP screening missions with ANLA representatives
Outputs during the reporting period
24 licenses issued for completed projects
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during implementation
Addressed social conflicts in 8 projects requiring alternates
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity’s actions and GCF attention
Submission of documents for the remaining 24 projects pending
Remarks/Notes
ANLA is expected to issue remaining licenses in Feb 2025

Activities implemented during the reporting period, including monitoring
Strengthened GRM across three levels and replaced three dam-aged GRM boxes

Outputs during the reporting period
24 GRM boxes were distributed; two major com-plaints resolved
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during implementation
Resolved payment con-flicts in Viqueque and Liquica municipalities
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention
Continued monitoring of GRM effectiveness is needed
Remarks/Notes
Regular follow-up is required to ensure timely grievance resolution

Activities implemented during the reporting period, including monitoring
Conducted public consultations for 48 schemes, integrating SES compliance
Outputs during the reporting period
24 consultations completed, including gender-sensitive assessments
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during implementation
Ensured Indigenous rights, gender equity, and environmental safeguards
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention
Further community engagement is required for the remaining 24 schemes
Remarks/Notes
Need for continued awareness campaigns

Activities implemented during the reporting period, including monitoring
Identified and ap-proved 29 alternate projects due to infeasibility of initial plans
Outputs during the reporting period
Alternative projects secured and consultations completed
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during implementation
Avoided adverse environmental and social impacts by selecting viable projects
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention
Ongoing assessments for alignment with SES
Remarks/Notes
Ensure proper implementation of mitigation measures

Activities implemented during the reporting period, including monitoring
Conducted capacity-building workshops for municipal authorities and contractors
Outputs during the reporting period
Trained stakeholders on SES compliance and monitoring
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during implementation
Improved contractor adherence to safety and environmental standards
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention
Continued supervision and evaluation are necessary
Remarks/Notes
Plan for refresher training sessions in 2025

Activities implemented during the reporting period, including monitoring
Strengthened monitoring and evaluation mechanisms, including participatory assessments
Outputs during the reporting period
Joint monitoring visits conducted quarterly with NDA and the Ministry of Tourism and Environment
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during implementation
Ensured compliance with IPP and SESP guidelines
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention
Need for additional training in participatory monitoring techniques
Remarks/Notes
Enhance collaboration with government stakeholders

Activities implemented during the reporting period, including monitoring
Successfully passed one external and one internal UNDP audit
Outputs during the reporting period
Recommendations addressed, including PPE compliance
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during implementation
Strengthened reporting and contractor feedback mechanisms
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention
Further improvements needed in co-financing activity oversight

Remarks/Notes
Address delayed payment verification processes

5) Please fill-out the table for programmes/FIs with subprojects.

Title of the sub-project
ESS management plan/instrument in place
Activities/engagement conducted with Indigenous Peoples
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during this reporting period
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention

6) Include a description of the actions undertaken during the reporting period towards increasing the relevant stakeholders’ engagement in the project environmental, social and gender elements and progress toward implementing the Stakeholder Engagement Plan according to the approved funding proposal’s Annex 7 - Summary of Consultation and Stakeholder Engagement Plan.

Provide a narrative update in the Remarks/Notes column, including any significant progress or co-benefits that have arisen from the activity. Please also note if the activity is not on target/delayed and what mitigation measures have been put in place in that regard.

Implementation of the stakeholder engagement plan

Title of the sub-project (if relevant)
Activities implemented during the reporting period
Project Board Meeting
Dates and venues of engagement activities
July 04, 2024 Dili, Timor Leste, UN Conference Hall
Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male = ; female =)
35 Participants (12 %) were women, including board members and other participants from UNDP, SSE, MoPW, SSCP, Ministry of Foreign Affairs (MoFA), National Designated Authority (NDA)
Please provide the list of CSOs, NGOs, or other platforms, initiatives, and organizations that were involved or continue to be engaged according to the approved funding proposal’s Annex 7 – Summary of Consultations and Stakeholder Engagement Plan. Please also include the list of any other stakeholders involved in the stakeholder engagement process.
Information shared with stakeholders
2024 Progress, Annual workplan and budget for 2023.



Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period

1. Approval of the annual work plan and budget for 2024.

2. Stakeholders provided feedback on project implementation and strategic priorities for the upcoming period.

3. Discussions included procurement and implementation challenges and how to address delays in infrastructure projects.

4. Emphasis on strengthening coordination between UNDP, NDA, and government agencies to enhance efficiency.

Remarks/Notes

1. Stakeholders emphasized the need for expedited implementation of infrastructure projects, particularly those experiencing delays.

2. The meeting reaffirmed commitments from government institutions to provide necessary support for project implementation.

Title of the sub-project (if relevant)

Activities implemented during the reporting period

Disaster Risk Management (DRM) Training

Dates and venues of engagement activities

14 – 18 October 2024, Dili, Timor-Leste

Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male = ; female =)

69 participants (48% women) from SSE, SSCP, MSA, MoPW, MALFF, UNDP, ANTEA, ANAS IP, IPG, MTT

Please provide the list of CSOs, NGOs, or other platforms, initiatives, and organizations that were involved or continue to be engaged according to the approved funding proposal's Annex 7 – Summary of Consultations and Stakeholder Engagement Plan. Please also include the list of any other stakeholders involved in the stakeholder engagement process.

Information shared with stakeholders

Sharing the concept of DRM and learning the use of MHVRA maps and data for disaster risk mitigation and reduction.

Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period

Risk and gender mainstreaming during disaster and use of MHVRA maps to identify the disaster-prone areas.

Remarks/Notes

The training strengthened risk and gender mainstreaming in disaster risk management (DRM) and enhanced participants' ability to use MHVRA maps to identify disaster-prone areas and implement mitigation strategies.

Title of the sub-project (if relevant)

Activities implemented during the reporting period

Training on MHVRA

Dates and venues of engagement activities

21-24 October 2024, Dili, CPA conference hall

Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male = ; female =)

71 participants (46 % women) from SSE, SSCP, MSA, MoPW, Ministry of Agriculture, IPG, HIVOS, WFP, UNDP, IFRC, ADRA, Mercy Corps, Plan International, World Vision, EBD

Please provide the list of CSOs, NGOs, or other platforms, initiatives, and organizations that were involved or continue to be engaged according to the approved funding proposal's Annex 7 – Summary of Consultations and Stakeholder Engagement Plan. Please also include the list of any other stakeholders involved in the stakeholder engagement process.



Information shared with stakeholders

Participatory methods and tools for data collection, including gender considerations.

Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period

A better understanding of tools and methods for data collection, including gender data

Remarks/Notes

The training enhanced participants' understanding of MHVRA tools and participatory data collection methods, with a focus on gender considerations for improved risk assessment.

Title of the sub-project (if relevant)

Activities implemented during the reporting period

4th Project Technical Sub- Steering Committee Meeting

Dates and venues of engagement activities

July 04, 2024
Dili, Timor Leste, UN Conference Hall

Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male = ; female =)

50 participants (39 % women) from various national and municipal government departments, including MSA, MoPW, MoFA, MAF, SSCP, NDA, BTL, Project Municipality Administrator, and UNDP management and project team.

Please provide the list of CSOs, NGOs, or other platforms, initiatives, and organizations that were involved or continue to be engaged according to the approved funding proposal's Annex 7 – Summary of Consultations and Stakeholder Engagement Plan. Please also include the list of any other stakeholders involved in the stakeholder engagement process.

Information shared with stakeholders

Progress project challenges, including co-financing and identification of alternate scheme, coordination with relevant stakeholders

Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period

A better understanding of the project modality, including co-financing and agreed on methodology for identification of alternate schemes

Remarks/Notes

The meeting clarified project challenges, co-financing, and alternate scheme identification, improving stakeholder coordination and understanding of project modalities.

Title of the sub-project (if relevant)

Activities implemented during the reporting period

Launch of damage and loss data system- Timor Emergency Response System (TERS)

Dates and venues of engagement activities

11-13 November 2024

Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male = ; female =)

31 (30% women) from SSCP-CPA, UNDP, and iTM (contracted company).

Please provide the list of CSOs, NGOs, or other platforms, initiatives, and organizations that were involved or continue to be engaged according to the approved funding proposal's Annex 7 – Summary of Consultations and Stakeholder Engagement Plan. Please also include the list of any other stakeholders involved in the stakeholder engagement process.



Information shared with stakeholders

The functionalities of the TERS, how to use the system, and its sustainability

Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period

Ownership of the system by CPA for future use, maintenance, and sustainability

Remarks/Notes

The TERS damage and loss data system launch focused on system functionalities, usage, and long-term sustainability. CPA assumed ownership for future maintenance, ensuring continued implementation and integration into emergency response planning.

Title of the sub-project (if relevant)

Activities implemented during the reporting period

Coordination Meeting with UNEP and FAO

Dates and venues of engagement activities

15 November 2024

Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male = ; female =)

6 Participants (2 women) from UNEP, UNDP CPA and FAO.

Please provide the list of CSOs, NGOs, or other platforms, initiatives, and organizations that were involved or continue to be engaged according to the approved funding proposal's Annex 7 – Summary of Consultations and Stakeholder Engagement Plan. Please also include the list of any other stakeholders involved in the stakeholder engagement process.

Information shared with stakeholders

The meeting aimed to ensure that the UNEP GCF-funded project complements the UNDP-developed risk Information system, mainly through the update of vulnerabilities and the impacted well-being sector in 6 target municipalities. FAO also presented its own disaster vulnerability system and discussed merging some of the functionalities.

Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period

Agreed on supporting the joint training by both projects for CPA staff and Municipality technical specialists on MHVRA and TERS, including the loss and damage data system

Remarks/Notes

The meeting aligned the UNEP GCF project with the UNDP risk information system in six municipalities and explored integrating FAO's disaster vulnerability system. It was agreed that joint training on MHVRA and TERS for CPA staff and municipal specialists should be supported. 6 participants attended, including 2 women.

Title of the sub-project (if relevant)

Activities implemented during the reporting period

Independent monitoring and consultative session by National Designated Authority with all project stakeholders

Dates and venues of engagement activities

October 29-30, 2024

Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male = ; female =)

Please provide the list of CSOs, NGOs, or other platforms, initiatives, and organizations that were involved or continue to be engaged according to the approved funding proposal's Annex 7 – Summary of Consultations and Stakeholder Engagement Plan. Please also include the list of any other stakeholders involved in the stakeholder engagement process.

Information shared with stakeholders
The purpose is to support the mission of the Timor-Leste NDC delegates to the GCF-UNDP project sites, documenting best practices to be presented at the upcoming COP29 in Baku, Azerbaijan.
Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period
The Timor-Leste NDC delegates conducted a site visit to 5 GCF-UNDP project locations, documenting 10 best practices related to climate adaptation and mitigation. The visit included engagements with over 50 community members and project stakeholders, with at least 30% participation from women. The collected insights and success stories will contribute to policy discussions at COP29 in Baku, Azerbaijan, supporting Timor-Leste’s commitment to achieving its NDC targets, including a 15.97% GHG emission reduction by 2030.
Remarks/Notes
The independent monitoring and consultative session successfully facilitated stakeholder engagement, enabling Timor-Leste NDC delegates to document 10 best practices from 5 project sites for COP29 in Baku. The session emphasized climate adaptation and mitigation efforts, with over 50 participants and 30% female representation, reinforcing Timor-Leste’s commitment to achieving a 15.97% GHG reduction by 2030.

Title of the sub-project (if relevant)
Activities implemented during the reporting period
Catchment management and rehabilitation workshop
Dates and venues of engagement activities
11 November Ermera Municipality Hall 13 November Aileu Municipality Hall 15 November Lautem Municipality Hall 18 November Viqueque municipality hall.
Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male = ; female =)
Total Participants: 245 Male: 176 (72%) Female: 69 (28%)
Please provide the list of CSOs, NGOs, or other platforms, initiatives, and organizations that were involved or continue to be engaged according to the approved funding proposal’s Annex 7 – Summary of Consultations and Stakeholder Engagement Plan. Please also include the list of any other stakeholders involved in the stakeholder engagement process.
Information shared with stakeholders
Catchment restoration techniques and their role in preventing soil erosion and improving water availability. Best practices for integrating agroforestry and reforestation into catchment management. Community engagement strategies to enhance participation in watershed protection.
Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period
Action Plans Developed: Each municipality drafted a localized plan to implement catchment rehabilitation measures.
Remarks/Notes
The workshop series successfully engaged local stakeholders across four municipalities, emphasizing the need for integrated catchment management. Women’s participation (28%) highlights an opportunity to increase gender inclusion further in natural resource management initiatives.

Title of the sub-project (if relevant)
Activities implemented during the reporting period
Cultural and Social mobilization and inauguration ceremonies for rehabilitation of 48 infrastructure schemes.

Dates and venues of engagement activities

May- November at individual scheme sites in the project municipalities

Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male = ; female =)

48 different events (one per each scheme). Participants were approximately 2680 (31 % women), including Suco chiefs, Aldeia chiefs, contractors and their teams, municipal authorities staff, UNDP project engineer and team, men and women from the community, including landowners (where applicable), direct and indirect beneficiaries.

Please provide the list of CSOs, NGOs, or other platforms, initiatives, and organizations that were involved or continue to be engaged according to the approved funding proposal's Annex 7 – Summary of Consultations and Stakeholder Engagement Plan. Please also include the list of any other stakeholders involved in the stakeholder engagement process.

Information shared with stakeholders

Details about the scheme, stakeholder roles and responsibilities, ESIA/ESMP compliance, gender integration and GRM mechanism

Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period

Quality and timely implementation with buy-in from the community complying with all set processes and procedures

Remarks/Notes

1. Stakeholders emphasized the need for timely project completion, improved coordination between implementing partners, and continued technical support for sustainable infrastructure management.
2. Gender inclusion remained a challenge, with varying levels of women’s participation across different municipalities, highlighting the need for targeted engagement strategies.
3. Next steps include continued monitoring, follow-up visits, and addressing concerns raised by communities and stakeholders to enhance project outcomes.

Title of the sub-project (if relevant)

Activities implemented during the reporting period

Public consultation was held at national, municipal, administrative posts, and community levels in the construction area. Discussion on land declaration with the respective community.

Dates and venues of engagement activities

Aileu, Baucau, Ermera, Liquica, and Viqueque municipalities, scheduled once per month.

Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male = ; female =)

451 (203 women and 221 men)

Please provide the list of CSOs, NGOs, or other platforms, initiatives, and organizations that were involved or continue to be engaged according to the approved funding proposal's Annex 7 – Summary of Consultations and Stakeholder Engagement Plan. Please also include the list of any other stakeholders involved in the stakeholder engagement process.

Information shared with stakeholders

Scheme design and BOQs, UNDP SESP requirements, gender equity and balance.

Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period

Letters of declaration from the community.

Remarks/Notes

Continuous engagement is required for project approval.



Title of the sub-project (if relevant)
Activities implemented during the reporting period
Public consultation for conducting field assessments and preparing Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA). Introduction of UNDP SES, including Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM).
Dates and venues of engagement activities
Aileu, Baucau, Ermera, Liquica, and Viqueque municipalities, scheduled once per month.
Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male = ; female =)
398 (184 women and 214 men)
Please provide the list of CSOs, NGOs, or other platforms, initiatives, and organizations that were involved or continue to be engaged according to the approved funding proposal's Annex 7 – Summary of Consultations and Stakeholder Engagement Plan. Please also include the list of any other stakeholders involved in the stakeholder engagement process.
Information shared with stakeholders
UNDP SES guidelines, grievance mechanisms, and mitigation measures.
Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period
Identification of key environmental and social impacts, community concerns, and mitigation strategies.
Remarks/Notes
Further stakeholder engagement needed for EIA completion.

Title of the sub-project (if relevant)
Activities implemented during the reporting period
Technical document preparation.
Dates and venues of engagement activities
Aileu, Baucau, Ermera, Liquica, and Viqueque municipalities, scheduled once per month.
Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male = ; female =)
N/A
Please provide the list of CSOs, NGOs, or other platforms, initiatives, and organizations that were involved or continue to be engaged according to the approved funding proposal's Annex 7 – Summary of Consultations and Stakeholder Engagement Plan. Please also include the list of any other stakeholders involved in the stakeholder engagement process.
Information shared with stakeholders
BOQs, engineering design, technical feasibility studies.
Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period
Completed project documentation for review and approval.
Remarks/Notes
Ongoing review and submission to relevant authorities.



Title of the sub-project (if relevant)
Activities implemented during the reporting period
Training/capacity building for national and municipal engineers and environmental staff.
Dates and venues of engagement activities
Aileu, Baucau, Ermera, Liquica, and Viqueque municipalities.
Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male = ; female =)
275 (102 women and 173 men)
Please provide the list of CSOs, NGOs, or other platforms, initiatives, and organizations that were involved or continue to be engaged according to the approved funding proposal's Annex 7 – Summary of Consultations and Stakeholder Engagement Plan. Please also include the list of any other stakeholders involved in the stakeholder engagement process.
Information shared with stakeholders
Technical training on infrastructure planning, environmental safeguards, and SES compliance.
Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period
Improved knowledge on SES compliance and technical requirements.
Remarks/Notes
Additional training sessions planned for 2025.

Title of the sub-project (if relevant)
Activities implemented during the reporting period
Joint monitoring in the field.
Dates and venues of engagement activities
Aileu, Baucau, Ermera, Liquica, and Viqueque municipalities.
Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male = ; female =)
N/A
Please provide the list of CSOs, NGOs, or other platforms, initiatives, and organizations that were involved or continue to be engaged according to the approved funding proposal's Annex 7 – Summary of Consultations and Stakeholder Engagement Plan. Please also include the list of any other stakeholders involved in the stakeholder engagement process.
Information shared with stakeholders
Monitoring methodologies, SES compliance indicators, impact assessment.
Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period
Identification of project issues and corrective measures implemented.
Remarks/Notes
Strengthen coordination with government agencies for joint monitoring.



Title of the sub-project (if relevant)
Activities implemented during the reporting period
Technical compliance verification.
Dates and venues of engagement activities
Various project sites in target municipalities.
Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male = ; female =)
N/A
Please provide the list of CSOs, NGOs, or other platforms, initiatives, and organizations that were involved or continue to be engaged according to the approved funding proposal's Annex 7 – Summary of Consultations and Stakeholder Engagement Plan. Please also include the list of any other stakeholders involved in the stakeholder engagement process.
Information shared with stakeholders
Engineering standards, construction quality assurance, and SES compliance.
Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period
Verified compliance reports and corrective action recommendations.
Remarks/Notes
Ongoing assessment required throughout project lifecycle.

Title of the sub-project (if relevant)
Activities implemented during the reporting period
Retention period for monitoring completed infrastructure.
Dates and venues of engagement activities
Various project sites in target municipalities.
Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male = ; female =)
N/A
Please provide the list of CSOs, NGOs, or other platforms, initiatives, and organizations that were involved or continue to be engaged according to the approved funding proposal's Annex 7 – Summary of Consultations and Stakeholder Engagement Plan. Please also include the list of any other stakeholders involved in the stakeholder engagement process.
Information shared with stakeholders
Guidelines for long-term sustainability and maintenance of completed projects.
Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period
Development of retention strategies and responsibility agreements with municipalities.
Remarks/Notes
Establish post-implementation monitoring plans.

7) Please confirm that the project has a GRM in place and the project stakeholders including executing/implementing entities, affected communities and beneficiaries are aware of GCF's Independent Redress Mechanism.

- ☒ The accredited entity confirms the statement above.
- ☐ The accredited entity does not confirm the statement above.

8) Have there been any grievances received during the reporting period?

If you are to click "Yes", please make sure to attach Annex 3 in Section 5.

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

9) Provide planned activities on environmental and social safeguards for the next reporting period

1. Monitoring Activity: Stakeholder engagement and consultation

Frequency:Monthly

Responsible Entity:Project Team, Municipal Authorities

Key Indicators:Number of consultations held, community feedback received

2. Monitoring Activity:SES compliance monitoring

Frequency:Quarterly

Responsible Entity:UNDP, NDA, Ministry of Tourism & Environment

Key Indicators:Compliance scores, corrective actions implemented

3. Monitoring Activity:Indigenous and vulnerable groups’ engagement

Frequency:Bi-Annual

Responsible Entity:Social Safeguards Team

Key Indicators:Number of engagement sessions, community participation levels

4. Monitoring Activity:GRM effectiveness monitoring

Frequency:Ongoing

Responsible Entity:PMU, Local Authorities

Key Indicators:Number of grievances reported and resolved, response time

5. Monitoring Activity:Environmental and safety compliance checks

Frequency:Bi-Annual

Responsible Entity:Environmental Specialists

Key Indicators:Percentage of infrastructure projects meeting ESS standards

6. Monitoring Activity:Joint monitoring and evaluation field visits

Frequency:Quarterly

Responsible Entity:Project Board, Municipal Authorities

Key Indicators:Field assessment reports, compliance status

7. Monitoring Activity:Reforestation and catchment management assessment

Frequency:Annual

Responsible Entity:Forestry Department, M&E Team

Key Indicators:Reforestation success rate, land rehabilitation progress

8. Monitoring Activity:Technical and safety audits

Frequency:Annual

Responsible Entity:Independent Auditors, Project Team

Key Indicators:Contractor compliance rate, safety violation reports

9. Monitoring Activity:Technical and safety audits

Frequency:Annual

Responsible Entity:Independent Auditors, Project Team

Key Indicators:Contractor compliance rate, safety violation reports

10. Monitoring Activity:Climate resilience monitoring

Frequency:Annual

Responsible Entity:Climate Adaptation Team

Key Indicators:Integration of climate resilience measures into infrastructure projects

11. Monitoring Activity:Community-based environmental monitoring

Frequency:Ongoing

Responsible Entity:Local Community Groups, Project Team

Key Indicators:Effectiveness of community engagement, environmental impact reports

Provide a list of activities in the ESMP to be implemented in the next reporting period. Include relevant deliverables such as reports or action plans, and other project specific products. Please include the monitoring schedule concerning ESS (including other potential vulnerable groups and Indigenous People) for the next annual reporting period.

10) Provide any success stories, good practices or challenges, including those related to the engagement of Indigenous Peoples and local communities, promoting indigenous knowledge and benefits to Indigenous Peoples and local communities.

- GCF project article won 2nd place in the Best Photos—SDG-Branded Elements category at the 3rd Annual Digital Communications Awards 2025 (DCA)!

Flowing hope: Transforming lives through clean water access | United Nations Development Programme: <https://www.undp.org/timor-leste/stories/flowing-hope-transforming-lives-through-clean-water-access>

"Clean water is a fundamental human... - UNDP Timor-Leste | Facebook: <https://www.facebook.com/UNDPTL/posts/pfbid035ew9JQNnvAXbRNDCVg3i2rmgsr8RbexzMVD3nYPQ2FWKShbzqBXpETL1c1F97LRUI?rdid=4zeiXv8TRMokWoRX>

- Inauguration of the irrigation system in Baucau (2024, with Minister of Agriculture and President of Baucau authority).

<https://timor-leste.gov.tl/?p=37130&lang=tp&n=1>

- Inauguration of road in Baucau Municipality (2024, with Minister MSA and President of Baucau Authority)

PNUD, liuhusi kolaborasaun ho... - UNDP Timor-Leste | Facebook: <https://eur03.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.facebook.com%2FUNDPTL%2Fposts%2Fpfbid02ZKYTnARxn68dJa5wyx6UHLyvA8QuQZFEVo1GRGiia4NyXso9Y4btw5iYh8LHBi1pl&data=0>

- Inauguration of road in Liquica

https://www.facebook.com/story.php/?story_fbid=4095971783786103&id=114526018597386&_rd

- GCF Reforestation initiatives (2024)

<https://timor-leste.gov.tl/?p=40536&lang=en&lang=en>

4.2 Progress on Key Risks and Changes regarding the Gender Action Plan

This section includes an update on the activities and actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan (GAP).

1) Summary on Activities and Actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan

During the reporting period, the project promoted gender equity through public consultations and training 275 engineers, environmental staff, and contractors. Awareness improved, ensuring 30% female representation in decision-making. Women’s groups in five municipalities monitored safeguards. Training was provided to 98 female-headed households and 123 youth. Gender indicators were integrated into SESP’s. GRM was strengthened, and suggestion boxes enabled anonymous reporting. Two gender grievances were resolved. A monitoring framework documented gender effects. Capacity-building helped authorities integrate gender in climate planning. Public campaigns promoted gender-responsive tools in PDIM and PNDS. Climate risks were reflected in training. Women-led contractors built 24 climate-resilient projects. Women-led organizations and nurseries managed agroforestry and reforestation. Despite progress, female training participation reached 32% instead of 40% due to cultural and logistical barriers. Accessibility will be increased through training centers and childcare support. Gender performance metrics were included in 80% of contracts, but resistance remains. Enforcement will be strengthened. Challenges included community resistance to gender policies, logistical constraints, and limited gender data. Early engagement improves participation, flexible schedules enhance attendance, and contractor enforcement is needed. Moving forward, mentorship for women in engineering will expand by Q2 2025, partnerships will be strengthened by Q3 2025, and awareness campaigns will run by Q4 2025. Significant progress has been made, but efforts continue to achieve gender action plan goals.

Moving forward, the project will expand mentorship programs for women in engineering and environmental management by Q2 2025, strengthen partnerships with local organizations to enhance outreach and data collection by Q3 2025 and conduct additional awareness campaigns on gender inclusivity in infrastructure projects by Q4 2025. While substantial progress has been made, continued efforts are required to address implementation challenges. The project remains committed to gender equity and will take strategic actions to ensure the full achievement of its targets by the end of the project cycle.

Provide a progress report on the gender action plan developed during project preparation stage for the reporting period. This will primarily be a report on activities undertaken and results achieved as a result of completion of an activity. Further it should also indicate if the project is on track to achieving the intended outcome(s). The reporting should be done for activities, targets and indicators already set in the action plan including on vulnerable groups (youth, poor, female heads of households, etc.) as would have been identified in the gender analysis and action plan. If activities or targets are not achieved as per plan, reasons should be provided, and recourse action should be proposed. Please include a reporting on any changes or deviations. Include a Report on implementation challenges and lessons learnt and how these will inform on-going actions and what action will be taken by when to address the challenges faced. Incorporate both quantitative data and qualitative report of the performance of such actions, and on progress on actions identified.

2) Please review your GAP and include all GAP activities in the table below with an update in the reporting period. In the event that no progress was made on an activity during the reporting period, please include the explanation in the remarks section of the activity.

Activity / Action *

Activity 1.1

Indicator *

Number of gender-disaggregated socio-economic data collection tools

Rating of Indicator *

- ☒ Green
- ☐ Amber
- ☐ Red

Green (on track to be met) / Amber (needs attention to be met) / Red (off track and unlikely to be met).



Baseline *	Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable *
-	At least two tools (e.g., questionnaires, gender-focused consultation meetings) implemented
Budget *	Currency *
1 100	USD
Annual progress update (Reporting Period) *	Cumulative progress update (Entire period) *
Gender-sensitive monitoring tools and plans were developed. A Gender-Sensitive Indigenous People Profile was prepared for 24 small-scale infrastructure schemes in six municipalities for 2024.	17 Completed
Timeline *	
2024-2025	
Planned completion of activity	
Responsibility *	
GCF project	
Stakeholder(s) responsible for delivering activity	
Remarks/Notes *	
Ensure continued gender sensitivity in upcoming assessments	
Briefly explain why the indicator is highlighted GREEN, AMBER or RED and what the mitigation action is. Describe the progress made during the reporting period.	
Please review your GAP and include all GAP activities in the table below with an update of progress made during in the reporting period as well as cumulatively. Each activity should be aligned with its own indicator, target, budget and updates. In the event that no progress was made on an activity during the reporting period, please include the explanation in the remarks section of the activity.	

Activity / Action *	
Activity 1.1	
Indicator *	Rating of Indicator *
Number of reports on gender-responsive vulnerability surveys	☒ Green ☐ Amber ☐ Red
Green (on track to be met) / Amber (needs attention to be met) / Red (off track and unlikely to be met).	
Baseline *	Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable *
-	Report on gender-specific vulnerability assessment
Budget *	Currency *
1 031	USD
Annual progress update (Reporting Period) *	Cumulative progress update (Entire period) *
Gender-Sensitive Local Vulnerability and Risk Assessment (LoVRA) finalized with 36% female participation.	8 gender-responsive vulnerability survey completed
Timeline *	
2024	
Planned completion of activity	
Responsibility *	
GCF project	
Stakeholder(s) responsible for delivering activity	



Remarks/Notes *

Survey methodology includes gender mainstreaming tools

Briefly explain why the indicator is highlighted GREEN, AMBER or RED and what the mitigation action is. Describe the progress made during the reporting period.

Please review your GAP and include all GAP activities in the table below with an update of progress made during in the reporting period as well as cumulatively. Each activity should be aligned with its own indicator, target, budget and updates. In the event that no progress was made on an activity during the reporting period, please include the explanation in the remarks section of the activity.

Activity / Action *

Activity 1.1

Indicator *

Ratio of women in stakeholder consultations on floodplain zoning

Rating of Indicator *

☒ Green

☐ Amber

☐ Red

Green (on track to be met) / Amber (needs attention to be met) / Red (off track and unlikely to be met).

Baseline *

14%

Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable *

At least 49% of female participation

Budget *

0

Currency *

USD

Annual progress update (Reporting Period) *

Achieved 49% female participation in consultations involving 200+ stakeholders.

Cumulative progress update (Entire period) *

35% of women in consultations on floodplain zoning.

Completed

Timeline *

2024

Planned completion of activity

Responsibility *

GCF project

Stakeholder(s) responsible for delivering activity

Remarks/Notes *

*Budget is covered under overall contract

Surpassed original target

Briefly explain why the indicator is highlighted GREEN, AMBER or RED and what the mitigation action is. Describe the progress made during the reporting period.

Please review your GAP and include all GAP activities in the table below with an update of progress made during in the reporting period as well as cumulatively. Each activity should be aligned with its own indicator, target, budget and updates. In the event that no progress was made on an activity during the reporting period, please include the explanation in the remarks section of the activity.

Activity / Action *

Activity 1.2

Indicator *

Number of gender-responsive damage and loss documentation tools

Rating of Indicator *

☒ Green

☐ Amber

☐ Red

Green (on track to be met) / Amber (needs attention to be met) / Red (off track and unlikely to be met).



Baseline *	Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable *
-	Development of gender-responsive templates
Budget *	Currency *
0	USD
Annual progress update (Reporting Period) *	Cumulative progress update (Entire period) *
Three gender-sensitive templates integrated into the damage and loss system	Completed 2 tools were developed.
Timeline *	
2024	
Planned completion of activity	
Responsibility *	
GCF project	
Stakeholder(s) responsible for delivering activity	
Remarks/Notes *	
*Budget is covered under overall contract Data collection framework now includes gender considerations	
Briefly explain why the indicator is highlighted GREEN, AMBER or RED and what the mitigation action is. Describe the progress made during the reporting period. Please review your GAP and include all GAP activities in the table below with an update of progress made during in the reporting period as well as cumulatively. Each activity should be aligned with its own indicator, target, budget and updates. In the event that no progress was made on an activity during the reporting period, please include the explanation in the remarks section of the activity.	

Activity / Action *	
Activity 1.2	
Indicator *	Rating of Indicator *
Training for MSS staff on damage and loss methodologies	☒ Green ☐ Amber ☐ Red
Green (on track to be met) / Amber (needs attention to be met) / Red (off track and unlikely to be met).	
Baseline *	Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable *
-	At least 40% female participation (baseline 14%)
Budget *	Currency *
0	USD
Annual progress update (Reporting Period) *	Cumulative progress update (Entire period) *
Three training sessions were held for CPA, ANLA National, and Municipal staff (87 participants, 41.6% women)	Partially completed 44 women participated due to the low number of women in the civil defence sector
Timeline *	
2024-2025	
Planned completion of activity	



Responsibility *

GCF project

Stakeholder(s) responsible for delivering activity

Remarks/Notes *

*Budget is covered under overall contract

Female participation remains below target due to low representation in CPA

Briefly explain why the indicator is highlighted GREEN, AMBER or RED and what the mitigation action is. Describe the progress made during the reporting period.

Please review your GAP and include all GAP activities in the table below with an update of progress made during in the reporting period as well as cumulatively. Each activity should be aligned with its own indicator, target, budget and updates. In the event that no progress was made on an activity during the reporting period, please include the explanation in the remarks section of the activity.

Activity / Action *

Activity 2.1

Indicator *

Gender mainstreaming incorporated into CAMP, KAM, and EVAS guidelines

Rating of Indicator *

☒ Green

☐ Amber

☐ Red

Green (on track to be met) / Amber (needs attention to be met) / Red (off track and unlikely to be met).

Baseline *

-

Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable *

Guidelines revised to be gender-responsive

Budget *

2 500

Currency *

USD

Annual progress update (Reporting Period) *

Trained five municipal engineers (including one woman) on gender-responsive procurement, resulting in the selection of three women-led contractors

Cumulative progress update (Entire period) *

Partially completed

Integrated into CAMP, KAM; EVAS in progress with 2 workshops held

Timeline *

2024-2025

Planned completion of activity

Responsibility *

GCF project

Stakeholder(s) responsible for delivering activity

Remarks/Notes *

Gender criteria applied in procurement processes

Briefly explain why the indicator is highlighted GREEN, AMBER or RED and what the mitigation action is. Describe the progress made during the reporting period.

Please review your GAP and include all GAP activities in the table below with an update of progress made during in the reporting period as well as cumulatively. Each activity should be aligned with its own indicator, target, budget and updates. In the event that no progress was made on an activity during the reporting period, please include the explanation in the remarks section of the activity.

Activity / Action *

Activity 2.1



Indicator *

Female participation in municipal technical monitoring teams

Rating of Indicator *

☒ Green

☐ Amber

☐ Red

Green (on track to be met) / Amber (needs attention to be met) / Red (off track and unlikely to be met).

Baseline *

14%

Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable *

At least 40% female participation

Budget *

1 201

Currency *

USD

Annual progress update (Reporting Period) *

37% of municipal female staff participated in joint monitoring

Cumulative progress update (Entire period) *

Partially completed

82 women in monitoring teams.

Timeline *

2024-2025

Planned completion of activity

Responsibility *

GCF project

Stakeholder(s) responsible for delivering activity

Remarks/Notes *

More female engineers needed in municipalities

Briefly explain why the indicator is highlighted GREEN, AMBER or RED and what the mitigation action is. Describe the progress made during the reporting period.

Please review your GAP and include all GAP activities in the table below with an update of progress made during in the reporting period as well as cumulatively. Each activity should be aligned with its own indicator, target, budget and updates. In the event that no progress was made on an activity during the reporting period, please include the explanation in the remarks section of the activity.

Activity / Action *

Activity 2.2

Indicator *

Engagement of at least one women-led contractor (baseline: 0)

Rating of Indicator *

☒ Green

☐ Amber

☐ Red

Green (on track to be met) / Amber (needs attention to be met) / Red (off track and unlikely to be met).

Baseline *

0

Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable *

At least one women-led contractor engaged

Budget *

500

Currency *

USD

Annual progress update (Reporting Period) *

Three women-led contractors awarded contracts for infrastructure projects in Baucau, and Ermera and Aileu

Cumulative progress update (Entire period) *

Completed

7 -women-led contractor engaged



Timeline *

2024

Planned completion of activity

Responsibility *

GCF project

Stakeholder(s) responsible for delivering activity

Remarks/Notes *

Capacity-building support provided to female contractors

Briefly explain why the indicator is highlighted GREEN, AMBER or RED and what the mitigation action is. Describe the progress made during the reporting period.

Please review your GAP and include all GAP activities in the table below with an update of progress made during in the reporting period as well as cumulatively. Each activity should be aligned with its own indicator, target, budget and updates. In the event that no progress was made on an activity during the reporting period, please include the explanation in the remarks section of the activity.

Activity / Action *

Activity 2.3

Indicator *

Female-led household participation in agroforestry and afforestation schemes

Rating of Indicator *

☒ Green

☐ Amber

☐ Red

Green (on track to be met) / Amber (needs attention to be met) / Red (off track and unlikely to be met).

Baseline *

-

Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable *

At least 50% female-led household participation

Budget *

2 800

Currency *

USD

Annual progress update (Reporting Period) *

415% female participation (out of 2297 beneficiaries), primarily in land preparation and maintenance

Cumulative progress update (Entire period) *

Partially completed
126 female-led households engaged.

Timeline *

2024-2025

Planned completion of activity

Responsibility *

GCF project

Stakeholder(s) responsible for delivering activity

Remarks/Notes *

Further efforts needed to increase female engagement

Briefly explain why the indicator is highlighted GREEN, AMBER or RED and what the mitigation action is. Describe the progress made during the reporting period.

Please review your GAP and include all GAP activities in the table below with an update of progress made during in the reporting period as well as cumulatively. Each activity should be aligned with its own indicator, target, budget and updates. In the event that no progress was made on an activity during the reporting period, please include the explanation in the remarks section of the activity.

Activity / Action *

Activity 2.4



Indicator *

Number of climate-resilient infrastructure projects integrating gender considerations

Rating of Indicator *

☒ Green

☐ Amber

☐ Red

Green (on track to be met) / Amber (needs attention to be met) / Red (off track and unlikely to be met).

Baseline *

-

Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable *

At least 70% of new projects include gender-sensitive planning and design

Budget *

2 800

Currency *

USD

Annual progress update (Reporting Period) *

A total of 24 infrastructure projects incorporated gender-sensitive elements, including community consultations with 40% female participation.

Cumulative progress update (Entire period) *

Completed

25 projects integrated gender considerations.

Timeline *

2024

Planned completion of activity

Responsibility *

GCF project

Stakeholder(s) responsible for delivering activity

Remarks/Notes *

Further emphasis needed on gender-inclusive planning

Briefly explain why the indicator is highlighted GREEN, AMBER or RED and what the mitigation action is. Describe the progress made during the reporting period.

Please review your GAP and include all GAP activities in the table below with an update of progress made during in the reporting period as well as cumulatively. Each activity should be aligned with its own indicator, target, budget and updates. In the event that no progress was made on an activity during the reporting period, please include the explanation in the remarks section of the activity.

Activity / Action *

Activity 2.5

Indicator *

Number of women-led community groups engaged in project planning and implementation

Rating of Indicator *

☒ Green

☐ Amber

☐ Red

Green (on track to be met) / Amber (needs attention to be met) / Red (off track and unlikely to be met).

Baseline *

-

Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable *

At least 5 women-led community groups involved

Budget *

1 500

Currency *

USD

Annual progress update (Reporting Period) *

Three women-led community groups actively participated in planning and implementation of water supply and agroforestry projects.

Cumulative progress update (Entire period) *

Completed

9 women-led community groups engage cumulatively.

Timeline *

2024

Planned completion of activity



Responsibility *

GCF project

Stakeholder(s) responsible for delivering activity

Remarks/Notes *

Further engagement required to reach the target

Briefly explain why the indicator is highlighted GREEN, AMBER or RED and what the mitigation action is. Describe the progress made during the reporting period.

Please review your GAP and include all GAP activities in the table below with an update of progress made during in the reporting period as well as cumulatively. Each activity should be aligned with its own indicator, target, budget and updates. In the event that no progress was made on an activity during the reporting period, please include the explanation in the remarks section of the activity.

Below to be confirmed by the AE for each reporting period.

3) Is the gender expert for the project/programme engaged to support the implementation of the gender action plan? If engaged previously, please advise if the gender expert has been retained by the project/programme, including plans to engage another expert in the event that a previously engaged expert has left the project/programme. Please provide clarification in the text box.

*

☒ Yes

☐ No

Yes, a gender expert has been engaged to support the implementation of the Gender Action Plan (GAP). The expert is actively contributing to gender-sensitive planning and implementation processes.

4) Is the budget allocated for the implementation of gender action plan/s being implemented according to Funding Activity Agreements and GCF’s Updated Gender Policy? Please ensure that the budget is included in the reporting above if it was indicated in the approved or updated gender action plan. Please provide any clarification in the text box.

*

☒ Yes

☐ No

Yes, the allocated budget for implementing the Gender Action Plan is being utilized in alignment with the Funding Activity Agreements and the GCF’s Updated Gender Policy. The budget covers activities such as gender mainstreaming during public consultations, contractor mobilization, training at the level of the CPA, NALA, MSA, and municipalities, community awareness campaigns, and monitoring and evaluation of gender-sensitive project impacts. All financial allocations are reflected in the reporting documents.

5) Are the planned activities, targets, indicators, timelines etc. on track during the reporting period? Please provide clarifications in the text box. *

☒ Yes

☐ No

Yes, the planned activities, targets, indicators, and timelines are on track during the reporting period. In 2024, the Gender Action Plan (GAP) was adjusted to reflect updated gender equality priorities. Sub-project-level GAPs from 25 infrastructure projects were successfully integrated into the overarching GAP framework, ensuring alignment across all initiatives. Specific actions included updating three key gender indicators, conducting 48 public consultation and capacity-building workshops in 6 municipalities, and establishing a monitoring framework that tracked progress across 90% of small-scale infrastructure projects. These efforts have strengthened gender mainstreaming and addressed gaps identified in previous reporting. All activities are progressing as planned, with targets and timelines being met consistently.

6) Are there any deviations from planned activities, targets, indicators or timelines of the GAP? If Yes, please provide reasons for deviations and mitigation measures that are being implemented to get back on track.

*

☐ Yes

☒ No

No, there have been no major deviations from the planned gender activities, targets, indicators, or timelines. The project implementation is proceeding as expected, and gender-related activities are aligned with the approved GAP.

7) Were there any revisions of the approved GAP during the reporting period? Please submit the updated GAP in Section 5 of this APR and ensure that the updated GAP has edits in track changes.

*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

No, the approved GAP was not revised during the reporting period. The current plan remains relevant and is being implemented as per the original framework.

8) Are the reported results on track to contribute to meeting the sex-disaggregated targets for the direct beneficiaries in the funding proposal, if applicable?

*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Yes, the reported results indicate that the project is on track to meet sex-disaggregated targets for direct beneficiaries. Data collection mechanisms ensure accurate tracking of male and female beneficiaries, and project activities like agroforestry, reforestation, and infrastructure construction activities are tailored to enhance gender inclusivity.

9) Has the project/programme experienced any implementation challenges that affect gender-related activities? If Yes, please include lessons learnt and how they are informing ongoing actions.

*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

No major implementation challenges have directly hindered gender-related activities. However, deeply rooted stereotypes remain a significant barrier. Many rural communities still perceive infrastructure work as male-dominated, which discourages women from participating. To address this, the project has introduced targeted advocacy efforts, working with local leaders to shift perspectives and highlight the value of women’s contributions to infrastructure and environmental sustainability projects.

10) Were there any best practices identified, or case studies prepared on beneficiaries/roles undertaken as agents of action by women and the most vulnerable people during the reporting period? Please provide any case studies in the text below or the project stories section of the APR.

*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Yes, the project has identified best practices and case studies demonstrating the role of women and vulnerable groups as agents of change. For instance, in community-led water management initiatives, women have taken leadership roles in maintenance and financial oversight. A detailed case study will be included in the project stories section of the APR.

11) Provide planned activities on gender elements for the next reporting period. *

1.

Conduct training sessions in partnership with local leaders (Municipality representatives, Suco Chiefs and Chief of Aldeias) to challenge stereotypes and promote women’s roles in community-driven infrastructure projects.
2.

Encourage women to take on supervisory roles in agroforestry and infrastructure projects, particularly in monitoring and management tasks.
3.

Conduct technical training for women in areas such as land rehabilitation, water resource management, and climate resilient infrastructure projects.
4.

Address barriers such as childcare responsibilities by implementing flexible participation models to accommodate women’s availability.

5.

Engage with male community leaders and elders to advocate for gender inclusion and demonstrate the benefits of women’s active participation in local development.
6.

Conduct gender-focused assessments to ensure continuous improvements in gender integration and address any emerging challenges.

Provide a list of activities in the gender action plan to be implemented in the next reporting period. Include relevant deliverables such as reports or action plans, and other project specific products including processes that will be involved to implement the activities effectively. Please clarify to which gender action plan activities/ outputs the planned activities on gender elements for the next reporting period listed above are linked to. Please include the monitoring schedule concerning gender activities for the next annual reporting period, this should include the frequency of monitoring gender action plan activities throughout the next reporting period. Report on actions taken on any of the recommendations made by the Secretariat (if applicable) to improve the level of integration of gender issues in the project.

Confirmation and Acknowledgement of Information *

* This is a required question to submit section 4 of the Annual Performance Report (APR).

☒ The accredited entity hereby confirms that the information provided in section 4 is complete and ready for submission.

APR CY2024 Section 5: Annexes and Attachments - v2 2025-08-15 15:40 +09:00

[APR CY2024] Section 5: Annexes and Attachments

Please note that this is section 5 of the five Annual Performance Report (APR) sections. APR will be considered valid only after all the five sections are filled with relevant details. For Section 5, please note that the following sections are voluntary excluding Annex 1 which projects/programmes reporting GHG emissions reductions must attach the necessary documents, and Annex 3 if you have submitted "Yes" in Section 4.1.8.

Annex 1: GHG emission reduction calculation methodology (for projects reporting GHG emission reductions) and details on the calculations of the annual and cumulative value.

Please provide the mandatory ex-post emission reduction spreadsheet presenting the calculation steps for achieved annual and cumulative value in this reporting period.

☐ I attached the spreadsheet for this reporting period.

Annex 2: Communication and visibility material

[Annex 2 Communication and visibility material.docx \(/GCF/programme_form/download_attachment/5766/50812/project_report?attachment_attr=field_V6TwqwVf:O4NLaGIb\)](/GCF/programme_form/download_attachment/5766/50812/project_report?attachment_attr=field_V6TwqwVf:O4NLaGIb)

Please provide relevant communication material such as media coverage, photos, infographics, and awareness raising posters used during project implementation.

Annex 3: List of grievances received

Provide a list of the grievances received (as an appendix to the APR) in the reporting period that will include the description of the grievance, the date the grievance was received, the resolution of the grievance, etc. Please include any SEAH-related grievances. Identities of complainants, or factors that could identify a complainant should not be included in this document.

Please ensure the names of complainants are excluded for confidentiality.

[Annex 3 List of grievances received.docx \(/GCF/programme_form/download_attachment/5766/50812/project_report?attachment_attr=field_eNAV5Ctl:Z4LqTcx9\)](/GCF/programme_form/download_attachment/5766/50812/project_report?attachment_attr=field_eNAV5Ctl:Z4LqTcx9)

Annex 4: Procurement information (voluntary)

Please download the procurement information template available in Excel below.

[Procurement information template \(https://smartme.adalia.fi/GCF/faq/get_document/17434/914\)](https://smartme.adalia.fi/GCF/faq/get_document/17434/914)

Please provide information on goods and services procured under GCF-funded projects/programmes. The information is being collected to increase transparency of activities undertaken with GCF resources. This exercise does not imply or require any change in the procurement processes already agreed with the GCF and is purely for information purposes. Please provide information on cumulative procurement activities undertaken in each project/programme funded by the GCF.

Annex 5: Any other documents (e.g. updated gender action plan)

Please submit any attachments (if any).

Please provide comments on the annexes attached above if any.



Confirmation and Acknowledgement of Information *

* This is a required question to submit section 5 of the Annual Performance Report (APR).

☒ The accredited entity hereby confirms that the information provided in section 5 is complete and ready for submission.